

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: John Hamill

DOCKET NO.: 13-29490.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 04-24-100-054-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are John Hamill, the appellant, by attorney Joseph G. Kusper, of Storino Ramello & Durkin in Rosemont; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$21,736 **IMPR.:** \$167,206 **TOTAL:** \$188,942

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 6,938 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 24 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, three fireplaces and a three-car garage. The property has a 43,472 square foot site and is located in Northfield, Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-09 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on seven equity comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 7 to 56 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity

Docket No: 13-29490.001-R-1

when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 5,132 to 10,775 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$83,195 to \$190,461 or from \$12.80 to \$20.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the total assessment be reduced to \$146,891.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$188,942. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$167,206 or \$24.10 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located within the same block or .25 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables are improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 7 to 24 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 6,181 to 7,118 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments that range from \$137,997 to \$187,353 or from \$20.10 to \$29.91 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of 11 suggested equity comparables ¹ for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparables #1, #6 and #7 due to their smaller or larger dwelling size when compared to the subject property. The Board also gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #3 due to its older age when compared to the subject property. The Board finds the appellant's comparables #2, #4 and #5 and the board of review's comparables are more similar when compared to the subject in location, age, dwelling size, design and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$18.72 to \$29.91 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$24.10 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

-

¹ It appears the appellant and the board of review used the same comparables. The appellant's comparables #4 and #5 and the board of review's comparables #2 and #4 are the same comparables.

Docket No: 13-29490.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	and Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	December 23, 2016
_	afrotol
_	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 13-29490.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.