



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Camber Condominium
DOCKET NO.: 13-24972.001-R-1 through 13-24972.006-R-1
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Camber Condominium, the appellant(s), by attorney Arnold G. Siegel, of Siegel & Callahan, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
13-24972.001-R-1	14-07-219-044-1001	3,061	20,285	\$ 23,346
13-24972.002-R-1	14-07-219-044-1002	3,147	20,853	\$ 24,000
13-24972.003-R-1	14-07-219-044-1003	3,322	22,015	\$ 25,337
13-24972.004-R-1	14-07-219-044-1004	3,061	20,285	\$ 23,346
13-24972.005-R-1	14-07-219-044-1005	3,147	20,853	\$ 24,000
13-24972.006-R-1	14-07-219-044-1006	3,322	22,015	\$ 25,337

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject consists of six condominium units with a 100.00% ownership interest in the common elements. The property is located in Chicago, Lake View Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of

\$1,445,000 as of January 1, 2013. The appellant requested that the applicable three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property be applied to this market value. The appraisal states that four of the units are owner-occupied and that the remaining two units are leased, but the appraisal does not specify which units are owner occupied and which are leased.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$213,232. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$2,119,602 when applying the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.06% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a memorandum showing that one unit in the subject's building, or 16.06% of ownership, sold in 2006 for \$417,500. An allocation of 2.00% for personal property was subtracted from the sales price, and then divided by the percentage of interest of the unit to arrive at a total market value for the building of \$2,547,633.

In rebuttal, the appellant reaffirmed the evidence previously submitted and waived the original request for an oral hearing.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$1,445,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.06% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 23, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.