

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: MP Properties

DOCKET NO.: 13-23532.001-R-1 through 13-23532.015-R-1

PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are MP Properties, the appellant(s), by attorney Maureen C. Pikarski, of Gordon & Pikarski in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	TOTAL
13-23532.001-R-1	19-19-115-043-0000	15,610	0	\$15,610
13-23532.002-R-1	19-19-115-044-0000	6,159	0	\$6,159
13-23532.003-R-1	19-19-115-048-0000	1,100	3,847	\$4,947
13-23532.004-R-1	19-19-115-052-0000	1,184	19,160	\$20,344
13-23532.005-R-1	19-19-115-056-0000	1,826	18,495	\$20,321
13-23532.006-R-1	19-19-115-068-0000	1,537	19,050	\$20,587
13-23532.007-R-1	19-19-115-069-0000	1,526	0	\$1,526
13-23532.008-R-1	19-19-115-070-0000	1,223	0	\$1,223
13-23532.009-R-1	19-19-115-071-0000	1,223	0	\$1,223
13-23532.010-R-1	19-19-115-073-0000	1,224	0	\$1,224
13-23532.011-R-1	19-19-115-074-0000	1,345	0	\$1,345
13-23532.012-R-1	19-19-115-076-0000	1,222	3,845	\$5,067
13-23532.013-R-1	19-19-115-078-0000	1,224	3,845	\$5,069
13-23532.014-R-1	19-19-115-079-0000	1,223	3,845	\$5,068
13-23532.015-R-1	19-19-115-072-0000	1,224	0	\$1,224

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of 15 individually owned rowhouses or townhouses as a condominium community located in Lake Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-95 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. The appellant did not submit any evidence describing any characteristics of the properties and very limited information on the sale of the property. In support of the overvaluation argument the appellant submitted an escrow trust disbursement statement that discloses a purchase on March 29, 2013 for a price of \$550,000. The statement indicates the seller as Old National Bank. The appellant included a copy of the settlement statement which showed broker fees. The appellant requested a reduction in the assessment to 10% of the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$110,937. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,109,370 using the Cook County Ordinance Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment for class 2 property of 10%.

In support of the assessment the board of review submitted a brief asserting that the subject property was a compulsory sale and not reflective of the market. To support this, the board of review included copies of printouts from the recorder of deeds office listing the seller as Old National Bank and the transfer of the property by special warranty deed. The board of review also included a memo stating the sale of two units in 2014 was \$435,000 and opining an average market value for each rowhouse of townhouse of \$213,150.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in March 2013 was a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Board of Educ. of Meridian Community Unit School Dist. No. 223 v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 961 N.E.2d 794, 802, 356 Ill.Dec. 405, 413 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board, 69 Ill.App.3d 207, 211, 387 N.E.2d 351 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, the Illinois General Assembly recently provided very clear guidance for the Board with regards to compulsory sales. Section 16-183 of the Illinois Property Tax Code states as follows:

The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

35 ILCS 200/16-183. Therefore, the Board is statutorily required to consider compulsory sales of comparable properties. In the instant case, neither party presented any evidence to show that the subject's sale was a market. Moreover, the appellant failed to submit any evidence to describe what property was included in the sale and there was very limited sales evidence to support any transaction as arm's length. Therefore, the Board finds the appellant failed to meet its burden of a preponderance of the evidence and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	us Illouis
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Member
Robert Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

# <u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 23, 2016	
	Aportol	
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board	

# **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.