

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Michael Kenefick
DOCKET NO.: 13-23360.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-13-404-020-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Kenefick, the appellant(s), by attorney Amy C. Floyd, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$3,385 **IMPR.:** \$19,272 **TOTAL:** \$22,657

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a 55-year old, two-story, single-family dwelling of frame and masonry exterior construction. Features of the home include: two bathrooms, a partial basement and a one-car garage. The property has an 8,464 square foot site and is located in Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2, residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant raised two issues: that the improvement's size was incorrect and assessment inequity as the bases of the appeal. As to the subject's improvement, the appellant's grid analysis indicated that the building contained 1,278 square feet of living area without further

explanation. Using this square footage, the subject reflects an improvement assessment of \$15.08 per square foot.

In support of the equity argument, the appellant submitted limited information on four equity comparables. They are improved with a multi-level, single-family dwelling with frame and masonry construction. They ranged: in age from 50 to 56 years; in building size from 1,228 to 1,510 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$12.70 to \$13.05 per square foot of living area.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$22,657. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$19,272 or \$10.00 per square foot using 1,927 square feet of living area.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on three equity comparables, all of which are located within a two-block radius of the subject. They are improved with a 55-year old, two-story, single-family dwelling with frame and masonry exterior construction. They ranged in improvement size from 1,763 to 1,949 square feet of living area and in improvement assessments from \$10.31 to \$11.81 per square foot of living area. As to amenities, the properties include: two bathrooms, a partial basement and a one-car garage, while property #1 also contains basement area.

The appellant did not submit any rebuttal evidence.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

As to the issue of improvement data, the Board finds that the appellant failed to provide any documentary evidence or witness testimony to support any assertion that the improvement's size was incorrect. Therefore, the Board finds this argument by the appellant is unpersuasive and that the subject's improvement is a two-story building with 1,927 square feet of living area.

As to the equity issue, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the *board of review's comparables #1 through #3*. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$10.31 to \$11.81 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10.00 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board finds that the remaining properties were accorded diminished weight due to the disparity in location, improvement age, size and/or amenities. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and

convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.

Mauro Illorioso	
	Chairman
21. Fer	C. R.
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan Dikini
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	August 21, 2018
	Stee M Wagner
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board William G. Stratton Building, Room 402 401 South Spring Street Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Michael Kenefick, by attorney: Amy C. Floyd Attorney at Law 57 E. Delaware #3101 Chicago, IL 60611

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review County Building, Room 601 118 North Clark Street Chicago, IL 60602