



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Holly Oconnell
DOCKET NO.: 13-23299.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 02-14-323-001-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Holly Oconnell, the appellant(s), by attorney Julie Realmuto, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$2,613
IMPR.: \$40,469
TOTAL: \$43,082

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of an improved parcel containing 8,712 square feet of land. The property is located in Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2, residential property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. At hearing, the appellant's attorney Julie Realmuto sent attorney Sheila Gallagher to the hearing to represent the appellant.

In support of this argument, the appellant submitted limited information on four equity comparables. Initially, the appellant's pleadings state that the subject contains one improvement. It is identified as a two-story, frame, single-family dwelling with 2,504 square feet of living area as well as two bathrooms. It disclosed that the subject's improvement assessment was \$40,469 or \$16.16 per square foot using 2,504 square feet of living area.

Thereafter, the pleadings reflect that the suggested comparables are improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling of frame exterior construction. They ranged: in age from 122 to 150 years; in size from 2,340 to 2,958 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$8.36 to \$8.91 per square foot of living area. At hearing, the appellant's attorney rested on the written evidence submissions.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$43,082. The Notes indicated that the subject property was a multi-code property. Therefore, the Notes stated that the subject contained two improvements thereon. Improvement #1 has an improvement assessment of \$21,660 or \$8.65 per square foot of living area based upon 2,504 square feet for this improvement. In addition, the Notes indicated that improvement #2 contained an improvement assessment of \$18,809.

The board's Notes describe the subject's improvement #1 as a 134-year old, two-story, frame, single-family dwelling with 2,504 square feet. Amenities include: two bathrooms, a full basement, and air conditioning.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables relating to the subject's improvement #1. These properties are located either on the subject's block or within a two-block radius of the subject. They are improved with a two-story, single-family dwelling with frame exterior construction. They ranged: in age from 105 to 140 years; in improvement size from 2,208 to 2,920 square feet of living area; and in improvement assessments from \$9.06 to \$11.15 per square foot of living area. Amenities include: one bathroom, a full basement and a one-car or two-car garage.

At hearing, the board of review's representative testified that the appellant failed to provide full data on the subject property. He testified that that subject contains two improvements thereon. He indicated that improvement #2 contains a two-story, frame, single-family dwelling with 733 square feet including one bathroom and one bedroom. He stated that the appellant's pleadings were in error and that the improvement assessment for building #1 is \$8.65 per square foot, while the improvement assessment for building #2 is \$25.66 per square foot using 733 square feet. In support of this testimony, the board of review's representative moved to admit board of review's Hearing Exhibit #1 which were copies of property characteristic printouts for the subject property supporting his testimony. This Hearing Exhibit was admitted without objection from the appellant.

At hearing, the appellant's attorney had no rebuttal comments.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject

property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* warranted.

Initially, the Board finds that the subject is improved with two improvements. Improvement #1 contains a two-story, frame, single-family dwelling with 2,504 square feet and an improvement assessment of \$8.65 per square foot. Improvement #2 contains a two-story, frame dwelling with 733 square feet and an improvement assessment of \$25.66 per square foot.

As to improvement #1, the Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #3. These three comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$9.73 to \$11.15 per square foot of living area. The improvement #1 assessment of \$8.65 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. The Board finds that the remaining properties were accorded diminished weight due to the disparity in location, style, improvement age, size, amenities, and/or the absence of complete assessment data.

As to improvement #2, the Board finds that the appellant did not submit any evidence to support an assessment inequity.

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant *did not* demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvements were inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not* justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code. Pursuant to Section 1910.50(d) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(d)) the proceeding before the Property Tax Appeal Board is terminated when the decision is rendered. The Property Tax Appeal Board does not require any motion or request for reconsideration.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 15, 2018



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year or years of the same general assessment period, as provided in Sections 9-125 through 9-225, are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for such subsequent year or years directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR OR YEARS. A separate petition and evidence must be filed for each of the remaining years of the general assessment period.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.

PARTIES OF RECORD

AGENCY

State of Illinois
Property Tax Appeal Board
William G. Stratton Building, Room 402
401 South Spring Street
Springfield, IL 62706-4001

APPELLANT

Holly Oconnell, by attorney:
Julie Realmuto
Attorney at Law
3640 North Oketo
Chicago, IL 60634

COUNTY

Cook County Board of Review
County Building, Room 601
118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60602