

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:Bakida HuziranDOCKET NO.:13-23099.001-C-1 through 13-23099.004-C-1PARCEL NO.:See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bakida Huziran, the appellant(s), by attorney Brian P. Liston, of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

DOCKET NO	PARCEL NUMBER	LAND	IMPRVMT	TOTAL
13-23099.001-C-1	14-08-300-016-1001	9,461	27,622	\$37,083
13-23099.002-C-1	14-08-300-016-1002	2,805	22,142	\$24,947
13-23099.003-C-1	14-08-300-016-1003	2,805	22,142	\$24,947
13-23099.004-C-1	14-08-300-016-1004	2,805	22,142	\$24,947

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (Board) finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a 3,480 square foot parcel of land improved with a five-year old, commercial/residential condominium building. The property identification number (PIN) 14-08-300-016-1001 under appeal is the commercial unit located on the first floor and containing 1,370 square feet of building area. The remaining PINs are not described. The property is located Lake View Township, Cook County and is classified as a class 5-99 and a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted sales information on four properties described as retail condo sales. The appellant included the assessor's printouts for only the commercial unit.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject's commercial unit of \$37,123 which reflects a market value of \$148,492 or \$108.39 per square foot of building area using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment of 25% for class 5 properties. The board of review also included the property record cards for both the commercial and residential units. The residential units have a total assessment of \$74,841 which reflects a market value of \$748,410 using the Cook County Real Estate Classification Ordinance level of assessment of 25% for class 5 properties.

In support of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted five sales comparables described as retail condo or office condo sales.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c).

The Board finds the appellant failed to describe the residential PINs of the subject property and did not provided evidence to challenge the assessment of these units. Therefore, the Board finds the appellant has failed to establish that the subject's residential units are overvalued and no reduction for these units is justified.

As to the commercial unit, the Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appellant's comparables and the board of review's comparable #1. These properties sold from May 2012 to July 2013 for prices ranging from \$4.15 to \$163.64 per square foot of building area. In comparison, the appellant's assessment reflects a market value of \$108.39 per square foot of building area which is within the range established by the comparables. Based on the record and after adjustments to the comparables, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Acting Member

DISSENTING:

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 24, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.