

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Yelena Shkolnik DOCKET NO.: 13-04499.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-32-303-065

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Yelena Shkolnik, the appellant; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,667 **IMPR.:** \$20,093 **TOTAL:** \$38,760

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story frame dwelling that contains 1,025 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in in 1978. Features include central air conditioning and a 242 square foot garage. The subject property is located in Libertyville Township, Lake County, Illinois

The appellant claimed overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted three comparable properties located from .02 to .56 of a mile from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in design, age, dwelling size and features. The comparables sold from February 2012 to October 2012 for prices ranging from \$75,000 to \$100,000 or from \$72.35 to \$97.56 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

Docket No: 13-04499.001-R-1

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the subject's final assessment of \$38,760. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$116,607 or \$113.76 per square foot of living area including land when applying Lake County's 2013 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.24% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1).

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted an analysis of four comparable sales located from .03 to .39 of a mile from the subject. The comparables were identical when compared to the subject in model type, design, age, dwelling size and features. The comparables sold from November 2012 to July 2013 for prices ranging from \$117,000 to \$132,000 or from \$114.15 to \$128.78 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The parties submitted seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparable #2 and #3 submitted by the appellant. The Board finds the sale price of comparable #2 to be an outlier due to the fact it sold for considerably less than the other six comparable sales contained in this record. Comparable #3 is somewhat larger in dwelling size, a different model dwelling and located furthest from the subject than all the comparables in the record. The Board finds the remaining five comparable sales are most similar if not identical when compared to the subject in location, model type, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$100,000 to \$132,000 or from \$97.56 to \$128.78 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$116,607 or \$113.76 per square foot of living area including land, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in this record and is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessed valuation is warranted.

Docket No: 13-04499.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	June 24, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 13-04499.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.