



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kevin & Linda Coogan  
DOCKET NO.: 13-04217.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 03-08-407-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin & Linda Coogan, the appellants, by attorney Richard J. Caldarazzo of Mar Cal Law, P.C., in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$36,240  
**IMPR.:** \$27,450  
**TOTAL:** \$63,690

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one-story brick dwelling that has 1,154 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1959. Features include central air conditioning and a 460 square foot garage. The subject property is located in Addison Township, DuPage County, Illinois.

The appellants submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the inequity claim, the appellants submitted limited descriptive information for three assessment comparables located in close proximity to the subject. The comparables consist of one-story style dwellings of brick exterior construction that are from 46 to 64 years old. The appellants did not disclose the comparables' foundation type nor features such as central air conditioning, fireplaces or garages. The dwellings range in

size from 1,152 to 1,855<sup>1</sup> square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,250 to \$40,130 or from \$21.05 to \$22.20 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject property of \$63,690. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,450 or \$23.79 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted an equity analysis of six assessment comparables located in close proximity along the subject's street. The comparables are improved with one-story brick or frame dwellings that were built from 1949 to 1961. Features had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 788 to 1,282 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$19,200 to \$31,590 or from \$24.02 to \$24.97 per square foot of living area. The board of review also provided complete descriptive information for the appellants' comparables. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayers contend assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants failed to meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains nine assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparable #2 submitted by the appellants due to its larger dwelling size and older in age than the subject. The Board gave less weight to comparable #3 submitted by the board of review due to its smaller dwelling size and older age when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining seven comparables were most similar when compared to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$24,250 to \$31,590 or from \$21.05 to \$24.97 per square foot of living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$27,450 or \$23.79 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar assessment comparables contained in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for any differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's improvement assessment is warranted.

---

<sup>1</sup> Based on the accompanying evidence submitted by both parties, the appellants misidentified the dwelling sizes for comparables #2 and #3.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: August 19, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.