



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kevin Coogan
DOCKET NO.: 13-03972.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-07-213-009

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin Coogan, the appellant, by attorneys Ryan Schaeffges and Richard J. Caldarazzo, of Mar Cal Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$35,290
IMPR.: \$28,600
TOTAL: \$63,890

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick construction with 1,086 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1955. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning and a 440 square foot detached garage. The property has a 13,065 square foot site and is located in Itasca, Addison Township, DuPage County.

The appellant appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board through counsel contending assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal.¹ The appellant did not challenge the subject's land assessment. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor and within 3.5 blocks of the subject property. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range from 1,344 to 1,647 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments that range from \$34,150 to \$41,420 or from \$25.15 to \$25.41 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$26,216 or \$24.14 per square foot of living area. The appellant's attorney called no witnesses.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,890. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$28,600 or \$26.34 per square foot of living area.

Representing the board of review was Chairman Anthony Bonavolonta. Bonavolonta called Addison Township Residential Division Manager Dawn Aderholt as a witness. Aderholt prepared the evidence submitted on behalf of the board of review.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on six equity comparables located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor as the subject property. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 1,066 to 1,264 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments that range from \$27,320 to \$35,180 or from \$24.24 to \$27.83 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the

¹ A consolidated hearing was held under Docket Nos. 12-03861.001-R-1, and 13-03972.001-R-1. Individual decisions will be rendered for each parcel with the applicable evidence presented.

basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted nine equity comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellant's comparable #1 based on a considerably larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining comparables are most similar in location, age, living area and other features when compared to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$24.25 to \$27.83 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$26.34 per square foot of living area, which falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill.2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellants have not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: June 26, 2015



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.