



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kevin Coogan
DOCKET NO.: 13-03966.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-08-418-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kevin Coogan, the appellant, by attorney Richard J. Caldarazzo of Mar Cal Law, P.C., in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **No Change** in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$36,240
IMPR.: \$33,760
TOTAL: \$70,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a split-level style dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction that has 1,060 square feet of above grade living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1958. Features include a 471 square foot lower level that is 75% finished and a 572 square foot detached garage. The subject property is located in Addison Township, DuPage County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of the inequity claim, the appellant submitted information for three assessment comparables located in close proximity to the subject. The comparables consist of split-level style dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction that were built from 1958 to 1972. The comparables have lower levels that contain from 471 or 634 square feet that are 75% finished. One comparable has central air conditioning and a fireplace.

The comparables have detached garages that contain from 280 to 576 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 1,060 to 2,082 square feet of above grade living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,810 to \$62,860 or from \$30.01 to \$31.27 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject property of \$70,000. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,760 or \$31.85 per square foot of above grade living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted an equity analysis of six assessment comparables located in close proximity to the subject. The comparables are improved with split-level style dwellings of brick and frame exterior construction. The comparables have lower levels that contain from 510 to 994 square feet which are 75% finished. Five of the comparables have central air conditioning, two comparables have a fireplace and each comparable has an detached garage that contains from 440 to 551 square feet of building area. The dwellings range in size from 988 to 1,335 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,970 to \$42,800 or from \$31.55 to \$35.39 per square foot of above grade living area. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Kankakee County Board of Review v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 131 Ill.2d 1 (1989). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant failed to meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The record contains nine assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to comparables #2 and #3 submitted by the appellant. Comparable #2 is larger in dwelling size and comparable #3 is newer in age than the subject. The Board gave less weight to comparables #1, #2, #5 and #6 submitted by the board of review due to their newer age when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining three comparables were most similar when compared to the subject in location, age, design, dwelling size and features. These comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$31,810 to \$39,180 or from \$30.01 to \$32.36 per square foot of above grade living area. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$33,760 or \$31.85 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar assessment comparables contained in the record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for any differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 23, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.