



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Kenneth Reuter
DOCKET NO.: 13-03865.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-27-305-023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kenneth Reuter, the appellant,¹ and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the DuPage County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$6,080
IMPR.: \$61,580
TOTAL: \$67,660

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame and brick construction with 2,252 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2005. Features of the home include a basement, a fireplace and an attached 420 square foot garage. The property is located in Warrenville, Winfield Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted evidence in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the appeal petition that the subject property

¹ Attorney Jerri K. Bush withdrew as counsel for the appellant by a filing dated March 18, 2016.

was purchased on October 12, 2012 for a price of \$203,000. The appellant disclosed the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor and the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service for 21 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement reiterating the purchase price and date which also disclosed the payment of brokers' fees; a copy of the Multiple Listing Service data sheet depicting that the property was a short sale with conventional financing; and a copy of the Listing & Property History Report depicting a listing date of February 2, 2012 with an asking price of \$219,000 before being sold. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$81,220. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$243,758 or \$108.24 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on three comparable sales. The comparables consist of two-story frame and brick dwellings that were built in 2002 or 2004. The homes range in size from 1,708 to 1,961 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement and a garage ranging in size from 392 to 418 square feet of building area. The properties sold between April and December 2013 for prices ranging from \$245,000 to \$275,000 or from \$125.64 to \$146.37 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

Except in counties with more than 200,000 inhabitants that classify property, property is to be valued at 33 1/3% of fair cash value. (35 ILCS 200/9-145(a)). Fair cash value is defined in the Property Tax Code as "[t]he amount for which a property can be sold in the due course of business and trade, not under duress, between a willing buyer and a willing seller." (35 ILCS

200/1-50). The Supreme Court of Illinois has construed "fair cash value" to mean what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing, and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d 428 (1970). A contemporaneous sale between two parties dealing at arm's length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but practically conclusive on the issue on whether the assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967).

The Property Tax Appeal Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in October 2012, three months before the assessment date of January 1, 2013, for a price of \$203,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The evidence disclosed the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor and there was no evidence of duress to buy or sell. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement, a copy of the MLS listing sheet for the subject property which depicted that the property had been advertised on the open market for 21 days and a copy of the Listing & Property History Report which reflected that the property sold for a slight reduction from its last asking price established in February 2012 as \$219,000. The Property Tax Appeal Board further finds the purchase price of \$203,000 is less than the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment of \$243,758.

The board of review submitted information on three comparable sales. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds the sales presented by the board of review are each smaller than the subject property and lack details of characteristics of the home for an adequate analysis of comparability to the subject including factors such as proximity and amenities. Moreover, the Board finds these sales do not refute the appellant's evidence that the subject property sold after being exposed on the open market for 21 days in a transaction involving parties that were not related. Based on this record the Board finds the purchase price in October 2012 is the best indication of market value as of January 1, 2013, and reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mark Allison

Chairman

DR

Member

Member

Robert Hoffmann

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: May 20, 2016

Shirley

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.