

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Allison Mosele DOCKET NO.: 13-03783.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-36-204-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Allison Mosele, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina of The Tax Appeal Company, in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 39,837 **IMPR.:** \$ 112,877 **TOTAL:** \$ 152,714

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story frame dwelling that contains 2,762 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1988. Features include a full basement that is partially finished, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 552 square foot garage. The subject property has a 16,873 square foot site. The subject property is located in Cuba Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In

support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal of the subject property estimating a market value of \$450,000 as of January 1, 2013. The appraisal was prepared by Steven L. Smith, a state licensed appraiser. The appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value in arriving at the final opinion of value. The appraiser identified three comparable sales located from .09 to .17 of a mile from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in age, dwelling size and features. land area, design, comparables sold from March 2011 to October 2012 for prices ranging from \$450,000 to \$535,000 or from \$127.26 to \$193.70 per square foot of living area including land. The appraiser adjusted the comparables for differences to the subject in sale date, land area, view, condition, dwelling size, garage area, fireplaces and decks. After adjustments, the comparables had adjusted sale prices ranging from \$412,800 to \$458,100 or from \$116.74 to \$166.16 per square foot of living area including land. The appraiser concluded the comparables #1 and #2 were most similar to the subject. Based on the adjusted sales, the appraiser concluded a final value estimate for the subject property of \$450,000 or \$162.93 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject property's final assessment of \$152,714 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$459,428 or \$166.34 per square foot of living area including land when applying Lake County's 2013 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.24%. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1).

In response to the appeal, the board of review argued appraisal comparables #1 and #3 sold in 2011, 16 to 21 months prior to the January 1, 2013 assessment date. The board of review argued comparable sales #1 and #2, adjusted or unadjusted, support the subject's assessed value.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted four comparable sales. One comparable was also used by the appellant's appraiser. The comparables are located from .04 to .54 of a mile from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in land area, design, age, dwelling size and features. They sold from March 2012 to September 2013 for prices ranging from \$429,000 to \$535,000 or from \$161.89 to \$193.70 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86

Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The Board gave little weight to the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The Board finds comparables #1 and #3 sold in 2011, which are dated and less indicative of market value as of the subject's January 1, 2013 assessment date. The record shows there were other similar comparable sales that could have been utilized by the appellant's appraiser that sold more proximate in time to the assessment date. In addition, comparable #3 is larger in dwelling size when compared to the subject. Finally, the Board finds the land value adjustment applied to comparables #2 and #3 were not consistent. Comparable #2 was adjusted by \$1.62 per square foot of land area while comparable #3 was adjusted by \$2.09 per square foot of land area. All of these factors undermine the credibility of the appraiser's final value conclusion.

The Board further analyzed the raw sales data for the six comparable sales contained in the record. Again, less weight was given to comparables #1 and #3 contained in the appellant's appraisal due to their 2011 sale date. The Board finds the remaining four comparables were more similar when compared to the subject in location, land area, design, dwelling size, age, and features. These comparables sold from March 2012 to September 2013 for prices ranging from \$429,000 to \$535,000 or from \$161.89 to \$193.70 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$459,428 or \$166.34 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's estimated market value falls within the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. After considering logical adjustments to the comparables for differences to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Chairman

Member

Member

Acting Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 22, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.