

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Khaleel Ahmed DOCKET NO.: 13-03410.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 03-14-400-035

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Khaleel Ahmed, the appellant, by attorney Jerri K. Bush in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds $\underline{a\ reduction}$ in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,050 **IMPR.:** \$13,610 **TOTAL:** \$26,660

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story multi-family dwelling of frame construction with 1,053 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1928. Features of the property included two apartments, a full unfinished basement and a three-car garage. The property has a 8,965 square foot site and is located in Bensenville, Addison Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on August 24, 2012 for a price of \$80,000. In support of this argument the appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was

sold through a Realtor, the property had been advertised for sale for 47 days and the property had been listed in the Multiple Listing Service (MLS). To document the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the subject's MLS listing sheet and a copy of the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration documenting the sale. The transfer declaration identified the seller as The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company and the property was a Bank REO (real estate owned). Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$52,190. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$156,633 or \$148.75 per square foot of living area or \$78,317 per unit, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on twelve comparable sales improved with buildings that ranged in size from 1,248 to 2,900 square feet of building area. The buildings were constructed from 1900 to 1968 and each has two apartments. The sales occurred from July 2011 to June 2014 for prices ranging from \$82,809 to \$220,500 or from \$41,404.50 to \$110,250 per unit. Six of the comparables were identified as being Bank REO sales.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in August 24, 2012 for a price of \$80,000. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it had been on the market for 47 days. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the PTAX-203 Illinois Real Estate Transfer Declaration and the MLS listing. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the subject's assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that

Docket No: 13-03410.001-R-1

the purchase price was reflective of market value. Although the board of review provided information on 12 sales, the comparables were not similar to the subject in size, age and/or exterior construction. Furthermore, board of review comparables #3, #6, #10, #11 and #12 differed from the subject in style in that their photographs appear to depict traditional two-flat masonry constructed apartment buildings while the subject's photograph depicts a dwelling converted to a two-flat apartment. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is appropriate.

Docket No: 13-03410.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Member

Member

Acting Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

Member

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 22, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

Docket No: 13-03410.001-R-1

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.