

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Shakuntala Desai DOCKET NO.: 13-02742.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-33-200-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Shakuntala Desai, the appellant, by attorney David Lavin of Robert H. Rosenfeld and Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$142,580
IMPR.:	\$274,970
TOTAL:	\$417,550

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part twostory dwelling of frame and brick construction with 4,783 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1988. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, four fireplaces and an attached three-car garage. The property has a 27,683 square foot site and is located in Oak Brook, York Township, DuPage County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales, two of which are located in the same neighborhood code assigned by the assessor as the subject property. The comparables consist of a two-story and two, three-story dwellings of brick and frame construction that were 25 to 31 years old. The homes range in size from 4,250 to 7,039 square feet of living area and feature full basements, two of which have finished area, central air conditioning, two to four fireplaces and a three-car garage. Comparable #3 also has an in-ground swimming pool. The properties sold between April and November 2012 for prices ranging from \$1,025,000 to \$1,275,000 or from \$181 to \$241 per square foot of living area, including land, rounded.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$330,558 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$991,674 or \$207.33 per square foot of living area, including land, which is the average per-square-foot market value of the appellant's comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$417,550. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,253,151 or \$262.00 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appeal, the board of review submitted a memorandum and a grid analysis of six comparable sales prepared by the township assessor's office. The comparables consist of two, two-story and four, part one-story and part two-story brick dwellings that were built between 1980 and 1988. The homes range in size from 3,798 to 5,670 square feet of living area. Each comparable has a basement and a two-car to a four-car garage. The properties sold between February 2012 and July 2013 for prices ranging from \$1,100,000 to \$1,825,000 or from \$271.48 to \$321.87 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of nine comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #2 as these dwellings are each significantly larger in size from the subject dwelling. Likewise, the Board has given reduced weight to board of review comparables #3 and #6 as these homes also differ substantially in dwelling size when compared to the subject.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sale #3 and board of review comparable sales #1, #2, #4 and #5. These comparables have varying degrees of similarity to the subject property. These five most similar comparables sold between February 2012 and November 2012 for prices ranging from \$1,025,000 to \$1,425,000 or from \$241.18 to \$300.38 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$1,253,151 or \$262.00 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Member

Chairman

Mano Moiros

Member my Whit

Acting Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 20, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.