

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Dominga Sifuentes DOCKET NO.: 13-02370.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-14-453-013

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Dominga Sifuentes, the appellant, by attorney Jerri K. Bush in Chicago; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$7,796 IMPR.: \$14,037 TOTAL: \$21,833

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a part two-story and part one-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,738 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1900. Features of the property include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a detached two-car garage. The property has a 6,286 square foot site and is located in Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County. The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on March 7, 2012 for a price of \$65,500. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sales Data of the appeal disclosing that the seller was BMO Harris Bank N.A., the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor and the property listed on the open market for 8 days with the Multiple Listing Service (MLS). The appellant also submitted a copy of the settlement statement, a copy of the MLS listing documenting the sale and a copy of the subject's Listing & Property History Report. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$43,215. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$129,736 or \$74.65 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.31% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information provided by the Elgin Township Assessor. The township assessor noted the subject property sold out of foreclosure in a cash sale for \$65,500 and that the MLS sheet noted the dwelling needed work.

In support of the assessment the assessor provided information on seven comparable sales that sold from July 2011 to June 2012 for prices ranging from \$117,500 to \$156,500 or from \$79 to \$87 per square foot of living area, rounded.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, an comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in March 2012 for a price of \$65,500. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor and the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement and a copy of the MLS listing documenting the sale. The appellant also provided a copy of the Listing and History Report disclosing the subject property was originally listed for sale in January 2011 for a price of \$89,900. The price was reduced to \$69,900 and ultimately removed from the market in August 2011. The property was relisted in February 2012 for a price of \$59,900 and ultimately sold for \$65,500. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did submit information on seven comparable sales, however, the Board finds this evidence does not overcome the fact that the subject property sold after being exposed on the open market in a transaction involving unrelated parties. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Member

Chairman

Mano Moins

Member

Acting Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 19, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.