

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Kenneth Schwartz DOCKET NO.: 13-02231.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-32-312-037

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Kenneth Schwartz, the appellant, by attorney Timothy Johnston of Roach Johnston & Thut, in Libertyville, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$66,294 IMPR.: \$183,658 TOTAL: \$249,952

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick construction with 3,803 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1991. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an attached 792 square foot garage. The property is located in Deerfield, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three comparable sales located within .17 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story brick dwellings that were built between 1985 and 1989. The homes range in size Docket No: 13-02231.001-R-1

from 3,446 to 4,502 square feet of living area and feature unfinished basements, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 483 to 884 square feet of building area. The properties sold between August 2012 and May 2013 for prices ranging from \$560,000 to \$630,000 or from \$139.94 to \$163.96 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$224,355 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$673,065 or \$176.98 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$249,952. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$751,961 or \$197.73 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.24% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales located within .75 of a mile from the subject. The comparables consist of two-story frame or brick dwellings that were built between 1987 and 1993. The homes range in size from 3,074 to 3,764 square feet of living area and feature basements, one of which has finished area. Each comparable has central air conditioning, a fireplace and a garage ranging in size from 504 to 691 square feet of building area. The properties sold between July 2012 and December 2013 for prices ranging from \$612,000 to \$795,000 or from \$198.38 to \$220.47 per square foot of living area, including land.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

# Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven comparable sales to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparables #1 and #3 as each of these dwellings differ substantially in living area square footage when compared to the subject dwelling. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be appellant's comparable sales #2 and #3 along with board of review comparable sales #2 and #4. These four most similar comparables sold between August 2012 and December 2013 for prices ranging from \$560,000 to \$795,000 or from \$143.22 to \$220.47 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$751,961 or \$197.73 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record and appears to be particularly well-supported by board of review comparable sale #2 which is similar in age, design, exterior construction, size and/or features. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moins

Chairman

Member

eny Whit

Acting Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 22, 2016

ortob

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

# IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.