



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: THR Property Illinois, L.P.
DOCKET NO.: 13-02176.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 15-19-406-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are THR Property Illinois, L.P., the appellant, by attorney Robert M. Sarnoff of Sarnoff & Baccash, in Chicago; and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds a reduction in the assessment of the property as established by the Kane County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 9,800
IMPR.: \$39,499
TOTAL: \$49,299

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part two-story and part one-story brick and frame dwelling that has 2,648 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2000. Features include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a

fireplace and a 440 square foot attached garage. The subject property has 10,018 square feet of land area. The subject property is located in Aurora Township, Kane County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information pertaining to the sale of the subject property. The appellant's appeal petition indicated the subject property sold in November 2012 for \$148,000 or \$55.89 per square foot of living area including land. The appellant submitted the sales contract and settlement statement associated with the sale of the subject property. The subject property was listed for sale in the open market with a Realtor for unknown period of time and the parties to the transaction were un-related. The sales contract revealed the subject property was sold "As-Is" with no repairs.

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$94,036. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$282,306 or \$106.61 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2013 three-year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.31%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted three assessment comparables to demonstrate subject property was uniformly assessed. This evidence was prepared by the Aurora Township Assessor's Office.

With respect to the evidence submitted by the appellant, the assessor indicated the subject property sold through a Special Warranty Deed-Bank Foreclosure, implying the sale was not an arm's-length transaction. The assessor also submitted the Real Estate Transfer Declaration associated with the subject's sale.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86

Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best and only evidence of market value contained in this record is the subject's sale price in November 2012 for \$148,000. The Board finds the subject's sale meets the fundamental elements of an arm's-length transaction. The buyer and seller were un-related; the subject property was exposed to the open market; and there is no direct evidence the parties to the transaction were under duress or compelled to buy or sell. The Illinois Supreme Court has defined fair cash value as what the property would bring at a voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is ready, willing and able to buy but not forced to do so. Springfield Marine Bank v. Property Tax Appeal Board, 44 Ill.2d. 428, (1970). A contemporaneous sale of two parties dealing at arm's-length is not only relevant to the question of fair cash value but is practically conclusive on the issue of whether an assessment is reflective of market value. Korzen v. Belt Railway Co. of Chicago, 37 Ill.2d 158 (1967). The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$282,306, which is more than its recent sale price. The board of review did not present any credible evidence that would demonstrate the subject's sale was not an arm's-length transaction. The Board finds the fact the subject sold through a Special Warranty Deed-Bank Foreclosure does not demonstrate the subject's sale was not an arm's-length transaction.

The Board gave no weight to the assessment comparables submitted by the board of review. The Board finds the assessment equity comparables fail to address the overvaluation argument raised by the appellant.

Based on this analysis, the Board finds the subject property is overvalued and a reduction in its assessment is justified. Since fair market value has been established, Kane County's 2013 three year average median level of assessment of 33.31% shall apply.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

K. L. Fan

Klaus Albrecht

Member

Member

JR

Jerry White

Member

Acting Member

Robert Steffen

Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 18, 2015

A. Proctor

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.