

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Gerardo & Martha Zavala DOCKET NO.: 13-02060.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-13-224-016

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gerardo & Martha Zavala, the appellants; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$ 6,939 IMPR.: \$ 70,734 TOTAL: \$ 77,673

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story frame dwelling that has 2,382 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a 420 square foot garage. The subject property has a 10,000 square foot site. The subject property is located in Warren Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellants submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming assessment inequity and overvaluation as the bases of the appeal. The appellants did not challenge the subject's

PTAB/April.16 BUL-17,844 Docket No: 13-02060.001-R-1

land assessment. In support of these claims, the appellants submitted three comparable properties located from 4.97 to 4.99 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with twostory frame dwellings that were built in 2005. The dwellings contain 2,440 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain 8,515 or 12,277 square feet of land area. Features have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$38,918 to \$40,175 or from \$15.95 to \$16.47 per square foot of living area. The comparables sold from July 2012 to October 2012 for prices ranging from \$83,300 to \$125,000 or from \$34.14 to \$51.23 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$77,673. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$233,673 or \$98.10 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2013 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 33.24%. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$70,734 or \$29.70 per square foot of living area. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted letter addressing the appeal and four comparable properties.

The comparables are located from .83 to .1.40 miles from the subject. The comparables are improved with two-story frame dwellings that were built from 1997 to 2005. The dwellings contain from 2,229 to 2,478 square feet of living area and are situated on sites that contain from 3,044 to 12,500 square feet of land area. Features have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$73,717 to \$90,690 or from \$29.75 to \$40.69 per square foot of living area. The comparables sold from September 2012 to December 2013 for prices ranging from \$235,000 to \$245,000 or from \$97.66 to \$107.67 per square foot of living area including land.

With regard the evidence submitted by the appellants, the board of review argued the comparables are located over 4.9 miles from the subject. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayers argued assessment inequity as one of the basis to the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to Docket No: 13-02060.001-R-1

the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellants failed to meet this burden of proof.

The parties submitted seven assessment comparables for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellants due to their distant location when compared to the subject. The Board finds the comparables submitted by the board of review were more similar to the subject in location, design, age, dwelling size and features. They have improvement assessments ranging from \$73,717 to \$90,690 or from \$29.75 to \$40.69 per square foot of living area. The subject property have an improvement assessment of \$70,734 or \$29.70 per square foot of living area, which falls below the range established by the most similar comparables contained in this record. Therefore, the Board finds the subject's improvement assessment is supported.

The appellants argued overvaluation as an alternative basis of the appeal. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c)). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted seven comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave less weight to the comparables submitted by the appellants due to their distant location when compared to the subject. The Board finds the comparables submitted by the board of review were more similar to the subject in location, land area, design, age, dwelling size and features. They sold for prices ranging from \$235,000 to \$245,000 or from \$97.66 to \$107.67 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$233,673 or \$98.10 per square foot of living area including land, which is supported by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record. As a result, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Aloriso

Chairman

Member

eny Whit

Acting Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 22, 2016

ortob

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.