

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Bruce Grieve
DOCKET NO.: 13-01136.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 09-25-301-193

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Bruce Grieve, the appellant, by attorney Andrew J. Rukavina of The Tax Appeal Company, in Mundelein; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 15,107 **IMPR.:** \$ 96,003 **TOTAL:** \$ 111,110

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story frame townhouse with 1,762 square feet of living area that was built in 2004. The dwelling has a finished walkout basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a 408 square foot attached garage.

The subject property is located in Wauconda Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. support of this argument, the appellant submitted an appraisal of the subject property estimating a market value of \$310,000 as of January 1, 2013. The appraiser developed the sales comparison approach to value in arriving at the final opinion of The appraiser identified three suggested comparable sales that had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The comparables are located in close proximity to the subject. The comparables sold from October 2012 to July 2013 for prices ranging from \$304,900 to \$377,000 or from \$198.63 to \$292.21 per square foot of living area including land. After adjusting the comparables for differences to the subject, the appraiser concluded the subject property had a market value of \$310,000 or \$175.94 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to reflect the appraised value.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject property's final assessment of \$111,110 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$334,226 or \$189.71 per square foot of living area including land when applying Lake County's 2013 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.24%. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1).

In response to the appeal, the board of review argued the condition adjustment applied to appraisal comparables #1 and #2 lacked of supporting evidence (photographs), noting the subject and comparables are similar in age and property record card do not show any significant updating. The board of review also argued the per square foot dwelling size adjustment is too conservative for the subject's market area.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted four suggested comparable sales located in close proximity to the subject. Three of the comparables were also utilized by the appellant's appraiser. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. They sold from October 2012 to November 2013 for prices ranging from \$304,900 to \$377,000 or from \$198.63 to \$292.21 per square foot of living area including land. The board of review pointed out the two lowest sale prices were foreclosure transactions.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value contained in this record are the comparable sales #1, #2, and #3 submitted by the board of review, which were also comparables #1 and #3 utilized by the appellant's appraiser. These comparables are located in close proximity to the subject's subdivision and are more similar to the subject in age, design, dwelling size and most features. They sold from October 2012 to November 2013 for prices ranging from \$304,900 to \$377,000 or from \$198.63 to \$215.31 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$334,226 or \$189.71 per square foot of living area including land, which is below the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in the record on a per square foot basis.

The Board gave less weight to the appraisal submitted by the appellant. Comparable #2 is considerably smaller in dwelling size than the subject. This comparable was also submitted by the board of review and likewise was given little weight. The Board further finds the large adjustment amounts applied to comparables #1 and #2 for condition are suspect and are not supported by any objective market value evidence. These factors undermine the credibility of the value conclusion as determined by the appellant's appraiser.

In conclusion, the Board finds the appellant failed to demonstrate the subject property was overvalued by a preponderance of the evidence. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fer	Mario Illorios
Member	Member
a R	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
Robert Stoffen	
Acting Member	
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 20, 2015
	Alportol
•	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.