

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Cynthia Glashagel DOCKET NO.: 13-01029.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-22-203-003

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Cynthia Glashagel, the appellant, by attorney Timothy E. Moran of Schmidt Salzman & Moran, Ltd.; in Chicago; and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 28,762 **IMPR.:** \$ 94,782 **TOTAL:** \$ 123,544

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part twostory frame dwelling that contains 4,091 square feet of living area. The dwelling was built in 1996. Features include a finished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces and

a 1,036 square foot attached garage. The subject property has a 43,729 square foot site. The subject property is located in Grant Township, Lake County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming the subject's assessment was not reflective of market value. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted limited information on three suggested comparable sales. Two comparables are located in the same neighborhood code as the subject as defined by the assessor. However, the appellant failed to provide the proximate location of the comparables in relation to the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in land area, design, age, size, and features. They sold from April 2011 to February 2013 for prices ranging from \$148,500 to \$198,000 or from \$39.81 to \$59.56 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" wherein the subject property's final assessment of \$123,544 was disclosed. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$371,073 or \$90.85 per square foot of living area including land when applying Lake County's 2013 three-year average median level of assessment of 33.24%. 86 Ill.Admin.Code $\S1910.50(c)(1)$.

In support of the subject's assessed value, the board of review submitted five comparable sales located within one mile from the subject. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject in location, land area, design, size, age, and features. The board of review acknowledged the comparables are smaller in dwelling size, but they have similar sized lots and finished basements like the subject. They sold from July 2012 to September 2013 for prices ranging from \$249,000 to \$435,000 or from \$94.60 to \$189.79 per square foot of living area including land.

The board of review argued the appellant's comparables are foreclosure or sheriff's sales; comparable #3 is located over one mile from the subject; comparables #2 and #3 have unfinished basements; and comparable #3 has a smaller site than the subject.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. Both parties' comparables are smaller in dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gave little weight to the comparables submitted by the appellant. Comparable #3 has a considerably smaller site than the subject. In addition, the appellant failed to disclose the comparables' proximate location, foundation types or if the comparables had a garage, which further detracts from the weight of the evidence. The Board also gave less weight to comparable #1 submitted by the board of review due to its dissimilar one story design when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining four comparables submitted by the board of review were more similar to the subject in location, land area, design, age, and most features, but were smaller in dwelling size. They sold from July 2012 to September 2013 for prices ranging from \$249,000 to \$365,000 or from \$94.60 to \$140.45 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$371,073 or \$90.85 per square foot of living area including land, which falls below the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in this record on a per square foot basis. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds the subject's estimated market value as reflected by its assessment is supported. Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fer	Mario Illorios
Member	Member
a R	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
Robert Stoffen	
Acting Member	
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	November 20, 2015
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.