

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lisa Beger

DOCKET NO.: 13-00763.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 16-05-303-005

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lisa Beger, the appellant, by attorney Eli R. Johnson of Robert H. Rosenfeld & Associates, LLC in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$97,388 **IMPR.:** \$256,096 **TOTAL:** \$353,484

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of brick construction with 5,331 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 2001. Features of the home include a basement with finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and an 862 square foot garage. An additional feature

of the subject property is a 600 square foot in-ground pool. The property has a 54,014 square foot site and is located in Lake Forest, West Deerfield Township, Lake County.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located within .76 of a mile from the subject property. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested an improvement assessment of \$223,582 or \$41.94 per square foot of living area which is the average persquare-foot improvement assessment of the appellant's comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$353,484. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$256,096 or \$48.04 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables located in the same subdivision as the subject property. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

### Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code The Board finds the appellant did not meet this §1910.65(b). burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted a total of seven equity comparables to support their respective positions before the Property Tax Appeal Board. The Board has given reduced weight to appellant's comparables #1 and #3 as each of these dwellings differ substantially in size when compared to the subject; appellant's comparable #1 contains 4,375 square feet of living area and appellant's comparable #3 contains 6,383 square feet of living area. Similarly, the Board has given reduced weight to board of

review comparable #4 which contains 7,317 square feet of living area and is therefore dissimilar to the subject dwelling of 5,331 square feet of living area.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #2 and board of review comparables #1, #2 and #3. The comparables consist of two-story brick dwellings that were built between 1990 and 2001. The homes range in size from 4,944 to 5,680 square feet of living area and have basements, three of which have finished area. Each home has central air conditioning, one or two fireplaces and a garage ranging in size from 726 to 964 square feet of building area. These four comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$46.07 to \$57.35 per square foot of living area. subject's improvement assessment of \$48.04 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record and appears well-justified given that none of the comparables have a pool amenity like the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman Mauro Illorios Member Member Acting Member DISSENTING:

#### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> September 18, 2015 Date: Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.