

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Lonzo Westerfield DOCKET NO.: 13-00633.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 21-14-13-406-011-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Lonzo Westerfield, the appellant, and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$4,650 **IMPR.:** \$24,950 **TOTAL:** \$29,600

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a split-level single family dwelling of frame construction with 1,547 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1975. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car attached garage. The property is located in University Park, Monee Township, Will County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four comparable sales improved with split-level style single family dwellings that ranged in size from 1,547 or 1,736 square feet of living area. The dwellings were constructed in 1970 and 1975. Each comparable has a partial basement, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 240 to 441 square feet of building area. One comparable has a fireplace. The sales occurred from April 2012 to April 2013 for prices ranging from \$27,200 to \$39,900 or from \$17.58 to \$25.79 per square foot of living area, including land. The grid analysis submitted by the appellant had adjustments to the comparables for such items

as sale date, land area and square footage to arrive at equalized sales prices ranging from \$25,158 to \$37,231. Based on these sales the appellant arrived at a market value request of \$28,560 and an assessment request of \$9,519.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject property of \$29,600. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$89,076 or \$57.58 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2013 three year average median level of assessment for Will County of 33.23% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on three comparable sales improve with split-level style dwellings of frame construction that ranged in size from 1,555 to 1,600 square feet of living area. The comparables were constructed in 1974 and 1975. Each comparable had a basement, central air conditioning and an attached garage ranging in size from 502 to 550 square feet of building area. One comparable had a fireplace. The sales occurred from March 2010 to March 2013 for prices ranging from \$98,000 to \$109,900 or from \$63.02 to \$69.56 per square foot of living area, including land.

The board of review also submitted information on three equity comparables improved with dwellings similar to the subject dwelling in style, age, size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$24,700 to \$26,350 or from \$15.97 to \$17.03 per square foot of living area. The subject property also has an improvement assessment of \$24,950 or \$16.13 per square foot of living area.

In rebuttal the appellant commented on the dates of sales of board of review comparable sales #2 and #3. The appellant also asserted the equity comparables provided by the board of review did not address the appellant's market value argument.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The record contains seven comparable sales presented by the parties. The Board gave less weight to board of review sales #2 and #3 as these properties sold in March 2010 and April 2010, not proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The remaining comparables sold from April 2012 to March 2013 for prices ranging from \$27,200 to \$98,000 or from \$17.58 to \$63.02 per square foot of living area, including land. The comparable that sold most proximate in time to the assessment date was board of review sale #1 that sold in March 2013 for a price of \$98,000 or \$63.02 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflecting a market value of \$89,076 or \$57.58 per square foot of living area, including land, is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Little weight was given the board of review equity comparables as this evidence did not address the appellant's market

value argument. Based on this record the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	June 24, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.