

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Joe Neidlinger DOCKET NO.: 13-00600.001-R-1

PARCEL NO.: 12-02-28-402-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joe Neidlinger, the appellant, by Jerri K. Bush, Attorney at Law, in Chicago; and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Will** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$11,500 **IMPR.:** \$37,550 **TOTAL:** \$49,050

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

# Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story frame dwelling that has 1,350 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1963. Features include a concrete slab foundation and a 1,648 square foot detached garage. The

subject's land size was not disclosed. The subject property is located in DuPage Township, Will County, Illinois.

The appellant submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. support of this argument, the appellant submitted a limited "Property Tax Analysis" of five suggested comparable sales. analysis was dated February 12, 2014. Neither the name nor the professional credentials of the person(s) who prepared the The comparables are located from .41 to report was disclosed. .88 of a mile from the subject property. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity and dissimilarity when compared to the subject in design, dwelling size, age, and features. land sizes were not disclosed. The comparables sold from September 2012 to February 2013 for prices ranging from \$41,600 to \$74,000 or from \$32.42 to \$57.10 per square foot of living including land. analysis area The included Property Equalization Values (adjustments) to the comparables for sale date, land, age, square footage, bath and fixtures, and garage Based on the Property Equalization Values, the analysis conveys a value estimate for the subject property of \$71,803 or a total assessment of \$23,932. At the bottom of the analysis, data sources were listed as Assessor, MLS, Realist, Marshall & Swift and IRPAM. No evidence or explanation pertaining to the calculation of the adjustment amounts was provided. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$51,100². The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$153,962 or \$114.05 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2013 three-year average median level of assessment for Will County of 33.19%. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted a limited analysis of three comparable sales and a letter addressing the appeal. The evidence was prepared by the township assessor.

With respect to the evidence submitted by the appellant, the township assessor indicated the appellant's comparable sales are "invalid" because they are "Bank Sales." The assessor also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant failed to disclose the land sizes for the subject and comparables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The board of review notes on appeal depicts an incorrect assessment amount of \$52,800. The final decision issued by the board of review, as submitted by the appellant, shows a final assessment of \$51,100 for the 2013 tax year.

pointed out the subject has two very large garage structures, superior to all the comparables. (See aerial photograph). The assessor also pointed out appellant's comparable #2 re-sold in May 2013 for \$138,000 or \$102.53 per square foot of living area including land.

The comparable sales submitted on behalf of the board of review are located in the subject's subdivision. The comparables had varying degrees of similarity and dissimilarity when compared to the subject in design, dwelling size, age and features. The comparables sold from September 2010 to May 2012 for prices of \$119,000 or \$124,900 or \$108.97 or \$136.65 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Under rebuttal, the appellant's counsel argued some of its comparables may be foreclosures, but section 16-183 of the Property Tax Code states that the Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties. (35 ILCS 200/16-183). The appellant's counsel argued board of review comparable #2 sold in 2010 and should be considered less indicative of market value as of the January 1, 2013 assessment date.

# Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the evidence submitted by both parties support a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The parties submitted eight suggested comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The Board gave little weight to comparable #2 submitted by the board of review. This comparable sold in 2010, which is dated and a less reliable indicator of value as of the subject's January 1, 2013 assessment date. The Board finds the remaining comparables provide better value indicators for the subject property. These comparables were more similar to the subject in location, age, size, design, and most features, but inferior to the subject due to its larger garage structures. They sold for prices ranging from \$41,600 to \$138,000 or from \$32.42 to \$108.97 per square foot of living

area including land. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$153,962 or \$114.05 per square foot of living area including land, which falls above the range established by the most similar comparable sales contained in this record. Based on this analysis, the Board finds a slight reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fer	Mauro Illorino
Member	Member
	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
Asbert Stoffen	
Acting Member	
DISSENTING:	

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 22, 2016
	Alportol
•	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.