

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Larry Grubart DOCKET NO.: 13-00235.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-06-04-109-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Larry Grubart, the appellant, by attorney Brian S. Maher of Weis, DuBrock, Doody & Maher, in Chicago, and the Will County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the Will County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$10,783 IMPR.: \$40,613 TOTAL: \$51,396

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Will County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2013 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part two-story and part onestory dwelling of frame construction with 1,796 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1998. Features of the home include a basement, central air conditioning and a 440 square foot garage. The property has a 9,568 square foot site and is located in Plainfield, Troy Township, Will County. The appellant contends assessment inequity as a basis of the In support of this argument the appellant submitted appeal. limited information on three equity comparables located within a mile of the subject property. The comparables consist of twostory frame dwellings that were 10 to 16 years old. The homes range in size from 2,162 to 2,386 square feet of living area and feature basements, central air conditioning and a garage ranging in size from 420 to 983 square feet of building area. Two of the comparables also have a fireplace. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$43,130 to \$45,235 or from \$18.53 to \$19.98 per square foot of living area. In the brief appeal, the appellant requested an with the improvement assessment of \$19.49 per square foot of living area for the subject based upon these equity comparables or an improvement assessment of \$35,004.

In addition or as an alternative, the appellant contends overvaluation as a basis of the appeal. In support this argument the appellant partially completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal petition. The appellant reported that the subject property was purchased in November 2010 for \$120,000 from U.S. Bank, as Trustee after the property had been advertised by Remax Action in the Multiple Listing Service after foreclosure of the property. In further support the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement reflecting the purchase date and price. Based on this market value evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$39,996 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$120,000 or the November 2010 purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal." The appellant submitted a copy of the Final Decision disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$51,396. The subject's total assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$154,854 or \$86.22 per square foot of living area, including land, when using the 2013 three-year median level of assessment in Will County of 33.19% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$40,613 or \$22.61 per square foot of living area.

In response the board of review submitted a memorandum and data gathered by Kimberly Anderson, Troy Township Assessor. She contends that the subject dwelling is a Forester model home and none of the appellant's equity comparables were Forester model dwellings. Docket No: 13-00235.001-R-1

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales and on four equity comparables.

The sales consist of dwellings located within a block of the subject. The homes were built between 1998 and 2004 and contain either 1,796 or 2,128 square feet of living area. Each dwelling has a basement, central air conditioning and a garage of either 440 of 483 square feet of building area. Two of the comparables have a fireplace. The properties sold between August 2013 and February 2014 for prices ranging from \$143,000 to \$199,000 or from \$79.62 to \$100.22 per square foot of living area, including land.

The equity comparables consist of dwellings of 1,796 square feet that were built in 1998 or 1999. The homes have basements, central air conditioning and a 440 square foot garage. Two of the comparable also have a fireplace. These properties have improvement assessments ranging from \$41,040 to \$42,133 or from \$22.85 to \$23.46 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted on grounds of overvaluation.

The Property Tax Appeal Board has given little weight to the subject's November 2010 purchase price of \$120,000 which occurred some 25 months prior to the assessment date at issue of January 1, 2013. The Board finds this purchase price is remote in time and thus less likely to be indicative of the subject's market value as of the assessment date at issue in this proceeding.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review comparable sales #1, #2 and #4. Less weight was

Docket No: 13-00235.001-R-1

given to board of review sale #3 that was a "Forester Grand" model and slightly larger than the subject dwelling. These three most similar comparables sold between August and December 2013 for prices ranging from \$143,000 to \$180,000 or from \$79.62 to \$100.22 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$154,854 or \$86.22 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified on grounds of overvaluation.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as a basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment §1910.63(e). process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review equity comparables. The Board has given reduced weight to the appellant's equity comparables which differ more in age, dwelling size and/or features when compared to the subject dwelling. These four equity comparables presented by the board of review were similar to the subject in age, size and/or features and had improvement assessments that ranged from \$41,040 to \$42,133 or from \$22.85 to \$23.46 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$40,613 or \$22.61 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record both in terms of overall improvement assessment and on a per-square-foot Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did basis. not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the taxation burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the effect of the statute enacted by the General Assembly establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. <u>Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett</u>, 20 Ill. 2d 395 (1960). Although the comparables presented by the parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed. Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that the subject's assessment as established by the board of review is correct and no reduction is warranted. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Member

Chairman

Mano Moiros

Member Jerry Whit

Acting Member

Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

November 20, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.