

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Ted Kastrandas DOCKET NO.: 12-34227.001-C-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-34-119-017-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Ted Kastrandas, the appellant, by attorney George N. Reveliotis, of Reveliotis Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$97,498
IMPR.:	\$77,502
TOTAL:	\$175,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

ANALYSIS

The subject property is improved with a one-story masonry constructed six unit shopping center. The subject contains approximately 11,694 square feet of gross building area. The subject was constructed in 1988 and is situated on a 60,117 square foot site in Schaumburg, Schaumburg Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. In support of this overvaluation argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$700,000 of January 1, 2010. The appellant also submitted a copy of the 2011 final decision issued by the Property Tax Docket No: 12-34227.001-C-1

Appeal Board establishing jurisdiction. The appellant's appeal depicts the subject's 2012 total assessment is \$195,039, which reflects a market value of approximately \$780,156 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for commercial property of 25%.¹ Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$175,000 to reflect the appraised value.

The board of review did not submit its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" or any evidence in support of its assessed valuation of the subject property.

After reviewing the record and considering the evidence, the Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of this appeal. The Board further finds the evidence in the record supports a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. <u>National City Bank of Michigan/Illinois v. Illinois Property Tax</u> <u>Appeal Board</u>, 331 Ill.App.3d 1038 (3rd Dist. 2002). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant in this appeal submitted an appraisal in support of the contention that the subject property was not accurately assessed. The appraisal estimated the subject property had a market value of \$700,000 as of January 1, 2010. The subject property had a total assessment of \$195,039 reflecting a market value of approximately \$780,156 using the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance level of assessments for commercial property of 25%. The subject's assessment reflects a market value greater than the appraised value presented by the appellant. The board of review did not submit any evidence in support of its assessment of the subject property or to refute the appellant's argument as required by Section 1910.40(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board and is found to be in default pursuant to section 1910.69(a) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. Further, the Board take judicial notice of its decision in docket number 2011-31554.001-C-1 regarding the subject property wherein the subject's 2011 assessment was reduced to \$175,000. Based on this record the Property Tax Appeal Board finds the subject

¹ The board of review did not refute this claim.

property had a market value of \$700,000 as of January 1, 2012. Since market value has been determined the 25% level of assessment for commercial property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code 1910.50(c)(3). This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

~	1.	
	<i>—</i> .	nen

Member

Member

Chairman

Mauro Alloriose

Member Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 26, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 12-34227.001-C-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.