



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Richard Rosenbaum  
DOCKET NO.: 12-32482.001-R-1 through 12-32482.002-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: See Below

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Richard Rosenbaum, the appellant(s), by attorney Adam E. Bossov, of the Law Offices of Adam E. Bossov, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds **A Reduction** in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

<b>DOCKET NO</b>	<b>PARCEL NUMBER</b>	<b>LAND</b>	<b>IMPRVMT</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
12-32482.001-R-1	16-15-106-038-0000	3,033	12,441	\$ 15,474
12-32482.002-R-1	16-15-106-039-0000	3,124	12,902	\$ 16,026

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board (the "Board") finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject consists of two improvements. Improvement #1 is a three-story dwelling of masonry construction with 3,744 square feet of living area. Improvement #1 is six years old. Features of Improvement #1 include a slab. Improvement #2 is a three-story dwelling of masonry construction with 3,627 square feet of living area. Improvement #2 is six years old. Features of Improvement #2 include a slab. The property has a 6,160 square foot site, and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. Improvement #1 and Improvement #2 are both classified as class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$315,000

as of September 2, 2012. The appellant requested that the subject's assessment be reduced to 10.00% of the appraisal's estimate of market value. The appraisal states that the subject is occupied by tenants, and, therefore, it is not owner occupied. The appraisal also states that the subject was purchased in October 2011 for \$55,000, and then purchased again in December 2011 for \$70,000. The appraiser explained that the condition of the improvements were significantly enhanced since these sales, as the building had been vacant since its completion in 2009 and was vandalized shortly thereafter, and that the appellant incurred significant expenses in revitalizing the improvements.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$43,672. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$436,720, or \$59.25 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 2012 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10.00%.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables and one sale comparable. The board of review's evidence also states that the subject was purchased in September 2011 for \$55,000.

In rebuttal, the appellant argued that the board of review's equity comparables should be given no weight because they do not address the appellant's market value argument.

### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellant. The subject's assessment reflects a market value above the best evidence of market value in the record. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$315,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established the 2012 statutory level of assessment for class 2 property of 10.00% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(2).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.



Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: November 23, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.