

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Julia Kerner
DOCKET NO.:	12-32426.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	03-16-207-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Julia Kerner, the appellant(s), by attorney Michael D. Gertner, of Michael D. Gertner, Ltd. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$8,485
IMPR.:	\$39,766
TOTAL:	\$48,251

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story, single-family dwelling of masonry construction with 3,024 square feet of living area. The building was constructed in 1994. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, two and one-half baths, air conditioning, and a three-car garage. The property has a 19,965 square foot site and is located in Prospect Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted an incomplete appraisal. The appraisal submitted does not include two of the six pages. The information not included is the appraiser's signature, appraised value, three sale comparables, and date of appraisal.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$48,251. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$482,510 or \$159.56 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessment for class 2-78 properties of 10% as determined by the Cook County Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted three equity comparables and sales data for each comparable.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As to the subject's market value, the Board gives little weight to the appellant's appraisal. The appraisal submitted as evidence is incomplete and missing two of the six pages. The missing key data from these pages are the subject's market value, date, comparable sales data, and signature of the appraiser. Without this key data, the subject's market value based on the appraisal is inconclusive. Furthermore, the only sales data included in the appraisal are two comparables which are active listings. None of the sale comparables are arm's length transactions. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant failed to submit sufficient evidence to show the subject was overvalued.

The Board finds the board of review's comparables similar to the subject. These similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$157.62 to \$175.33 per square foot of building area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$159.56 per square foot of building area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

July 22, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the

session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.