

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	Christopher & Jennifer Quigley
DOCKET NO .:	12-32201.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	16-26-102-023-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Christopher & Jennifer Quigley, the appellant, by attorney Glenn S. Guttman, of Rieff Schramm Kanter & Guttman in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>No Change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$3,125
IMPR.:	\$12,253
TOTAL:	\$15,378

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a three-story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,694 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 106 years old. Features of the home include a slab foundation. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on April 18, 2011, for a price of \$84,500. The appellant, however, failed to complete Section IV of the appeal petition. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$15,378. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$158,700 or \$58.91 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 9.69% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales. The comparables sold from February 2009 to June 2010 for prices ranging from \$130,000 to \$200,000 or from \$64.87 to \$132.28 per square foot of living area, including land.

The appellant submitted rebuttal evidence arguing the board of review's comparables were dissimilar to the subject.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board gave little weight to the appellant's purchase in April 2011 due to the lack of information regarding the sale. The appellant failed to complete Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal, which would have disclosed whether the parties to the transaction were related on not, whether the property had been advertised on the open market and the length of time the property was marketed. The appellant submitted no evidence to support the purchase to aid the Property Tax Appeal Board in determining whether an arm's length transaction occurred. The Property Tax Appeal Board's appeal form requires Section IV be completed when arguing overvaluation based on a recent sale.

As a result, the Board finds the appellant failed to produce sufficient credible evidence to challenge the correctness of the assessment.

As stated by the appellate court's opinion in <u>Commonwealth Edison Co. v. Illinois Property Tax</u> <u>Appeal Board</u>, 378 Ill.App.3d 901 (2<sup>nd</sup> Dist. 2008), it is the appellant or contesting party that has the burden of first producing sufficient evidence or argument to challenge the correctness of the assessment. <u>Id</u>. at 914. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds on this record that the appellant did not sustain its burden under Section 1910.63(b) which provides that:

Under the burden of going forward, the contesting party **must provide substantive, documentary evidence** or legal argument sufficient to challenge the correctness of the assessment of the subject property. Failure to do so will result in the dismissal of the appeal. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(b)).

Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant's submission is insufficient to challenge the correctness of the assessment. As a result the Board finds the appellant failed to satisfy the burden of going forward with substantive, documentary evidence or legal argument sufficient to challenge the correctness of the assessment of the subject property as required by section 1910.63(b) of the rules of the Property Tax Appeal Board. (86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(b)). Since the appellant did not meet this burden, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The board of review submitted four comparables sales, three of which sold from February 2010 to June 2010 for prices ranging from \$67.87 to \$132.28 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$58.91 per square foot of living area, land included, which is less than the range established by the best comparable sales in this record and further supports the subject's assessment.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios Chairman Member Member Member Acting Member

**DISSENTING:** 

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

February 24, 2017

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.