

## FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT:	David Hoke
DOCKET NO.:	12-29864.001-R-1
PARCEL NO .:	14-32-422-035-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are David Hoke, the appellant, by attorney Abby L. Strauss, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>*A Reduction*</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND:	\$13,405
IMPR.:	\$56,595
TOTAL:	\$70,000

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 2,146 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 19 years old. Features of the home include a full finished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a one-car garage. The property has a 1,915 square foot site and is located in Chicago, North Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on December 1, 2011 for a price of \$700,000. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price. The appellant's evidence included a copy of the Settlement Statement revealing the amount of Broker's Fees paid at settlement.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$87,738. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$877,380 or \$408.84 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a grid analysis of four comparable sales that were located within the same neighborhood code as the subject property. The sales occurred from June 2010 to August 2012 for prices ranging from \$968,000 to \$1,471,000 or from \$429.84 to \$593.15 per square foot of living area, including land. The board of review's grid included the subject's December 2011 sale, which listed the sale date as November 2011. Based on this evidence the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal brief critiquing the board of review's submission.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The appellant provided limited evidence of the sale of the subject. The appellant failed to complete Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal which would have disclosed, among other pertinent details, the length of time the property was marketed. The appellant's evidence included a copy of the Settlement Statement revealing the amount of Broker's Fees paid at settlement.

Even though the appellant failed to disclose pertinent details regarding the arm's-length nature of the subject's sale, including the length of time the subject was marketed, the Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record is the subject's December 2011 sale for \$700,000. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable #4 due to its 2010 sale date occurring greater than 18 months prior to the January 1, 2012 assessment date at issue. In addition, all of the board of review's comparables had significantly larger lots and garages when compared to the subject. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is not reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Moios

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

December 23, 2016

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND</u> <u>EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.