

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Simon Kowalski DOCKET NO.: 12-29436.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 07-35-113-005-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Simon Kowalski, the appellant; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$13,360 **IMPR.:** \$13,205 **TOTAL:** \$26,565

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a 42 year-old, multi-level dwelling of frame construction containing 2,106 square feet of living area. Features of the home include a partial finished basement, air conditioning, two fireplaces and a two-car garage. The property has a 29,690 square foot site and is located in Schaumburg Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation and assessment inequity. In support of these arguments, the appellant submitted four equity comparables, three pages of a five-page settlement statement, and a color photograph of the exterior of the subject. The appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on August 12, 2011 for a price of \$250,000. The appellant disclosed in Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was sold at auction by Deutsche Bank National Trust in settlement of a foreclosure. The equity comparables ranged from 1,186 to 1,566 square feet of living area, or \$6.75 to \$8.52 per square feet of living area. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,335. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$21,975 or \$10.43 per square foot of living area. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$364,654 or \$173.15 per square foot of living area, when using the board of review's indicated size of 2,106 square feet and when using the 2012 three-year median level of assessment of 9.69% for class 2 property as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four suggested equity comparable with sales data on each, and the August 2011 sale of the subject for \$250,000. The comparable sales occurred from February 2011 through March 2012 for prices ranging from \$200,000 to \$270,000, or \$120.48 to \$210.69 per square foot of living area including land. The equity comparables ranged from 1,272 to 2,135 square feet of living area, or \$6.20 to \$7.85 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is a basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has not met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment based on overvaluation is not warranted.

In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in August 2011 for \$250,000 is a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred

to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, when there is a recent sale of the subject, and that sale is a compulsory sale, the Board may consider evidence which would show whether the sale price was representative of the subject's fair cash value. The appella dispute that the sale was compulsory. The appellant's evidence did not In this case, appellant did not submit additional sale comparables to show that the sale of the subject in August 2011 for \$250,000 was at its fair cash value. In contrast, the board of review submitted four sale comparables ranging from \$120.48 to \$210.69 per square foot of living area including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$173.15 per square foot of living area including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. Since there is no supporting evidence that the sale price of the subject was at its fair cash value, the Board finds that the subject is not overvalued and holds that a reduction based on overvaluation is not warranted.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as a basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is a basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #1 and #2, and board of review's comparables #3 and #4. These comparables had improvement

assessments that ranged from \$6.27 to \$8.52 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10.43 per square foot of living area falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record, the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and holds that a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Acting Member

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

August 21, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing

complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.