

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Falah Tabahi
DOCKET NO.: 12-29397.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 04-29-400-051-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Falah Tabahi, the appellant(s), by attorney Ellen G. Berkshire, of Verros, Lafakis & Berkshire, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$49,551 **IMPR.:** \$0 **TOTAL:** \$49,551

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a vacant land parcel that contains 86,931 square feet. The property is located in Northfield Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 1-00 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on the sale of the subject in December 2010 for a price of \$250,000. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement. The appellant's evidence indicates the subject was purchased in a bank sale from Lakeside Bank, Trust 10-2503. The Board notes that the appellant did not complete Section IV-Recent Sale Data of the Property Tax Appeal Board's appeal form; specifically, the appellant did not indicate whether the subject was advertised for sale.

Docket No: 12-29397.001-R-1

The appellant also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on four equity comparables.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$76,064, or \$0.85 per square foot of land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$760,640 or \$8.75 per square foot of land, when applying the 2012 level of assessment for class 1-00 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance of 10%.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted sales information regarding five suggested comparable sales.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

Little weight was given to the subject's recent sale as no evidence was submitted to show the subject was exposed to the open market. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review's comparable sales. These comparables sold for prices ranging from \$11.61 to \$31.20 per square foot of land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$8.75 per square foot of land, which is below the range established by the best evidence in this record. Based on this evidence the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparables #2, #3, and #4. These comparables had land assessments that ranged from \$0.57 to \$0.70 per square foot of land area. The subject's land assessment of \$0.85 per square foot of land falls above the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant demonstrated with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's land was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified

Docket No: 12-29397.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Mai	is Allows
	Chairman
21. Fe	R
Member	Member
Solort Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 23, 2016
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 12-29397.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.