

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: SO Holdings, LLC DOCKET NO.: 12-28602.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 13-14-212-036-1010

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are SO Holdings, LLC, the appellant, by attorney John P. Brady, of Thomas M. Tully & Associates in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$312 **IMPR.:** \$7,714 **TOTAL:** \$8,026

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a condominium dwelling, which is one of 12 condominium units within a three-story masonry building. The building is 92 years old. The property has a 4,319 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Jefferson Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity and a contention of law as the bases of the appeal. In support of these arguments the appellant submitted limited information regarding the subject's purchase in July 2010 for \$45,000.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,026. The subject property has an improvement assessment of

Docket No: 12-28602.001-R-1

\$7,714. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted assessment information on the 12 condominium units within the subject's building. The comparable condominium units have total assessment values ranging from \$8,133 to \$27,262.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the only evidence of assessment equity to be the board of review comparables. These comparables had total assessments that ranged from \$8,133 to \$27,262. The subject's assessment of \$8,026 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

As to the contention of law and the subject's 2010 sale information submitted by the appellant, the Board finds this evidence is not responsive to the assessment equity challenge brought by the appellant. Section 16-180 of the Property Tax Code reads in pertinent part:

Each appeal shall be limited to the grounds listed in the petition filed with the Property Tax Appeal Board. (35 ILCS 200/16-180.

Furthermore, the Board finds the subject's July 2010 sale is dated and not probative of the subject's market value as of the January 1, 2012 assessment date at issue. Based on this record, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 12-28602.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

, Ma	and Illorias
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	February 24, 2017
	aportol
_	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 12-28602.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.