

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: MJJ Properties
DOCKET NO.: 12-28012.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 21-31-114-024-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are MJJ Properties, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,187 **IMPR.:** \$6,412 **TOTAL:** \$8,599

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a one and one-half story dwelling of frame construction with 1,615 square feet of living area. The dwelling is 104 years old and has a full unfinished basement. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on February 20, 2012 for a price of \$10,000. The appellant's evidence of the subject's sale included a copy of the Warranty Deed and an affidavit from the appellant.

The appellant also submitted a grid analysis containing four comparable sales from the subject's neighborhood. The sales occurred from February 2010 to June 2012 for prices ranging from \$8,000 to \$15,500 or from \$5.04 to \$9.87 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$810.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$8,599. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$85,990 or \$53.24 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales from the subject's neighborhood. The sales occurred from March 2009 to April 2011 for prices ranging from \$100,000 to \$160,000 or from \$69.44 to \$116.28 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

The appellant submitted a rebuttal brief critiquing the board of review's submission, arguing that the board of review's comparables are superior to the subject and requesting the appeal be written on the evidence in the record.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value in the record to be the appellant's comparable sales #1, #3 and #4, as well as the board of review's comparable sales #1 and #2. These comparables were similar to the subject in location, style, size, features and lot size. These properties also sold proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$8,000 to \$130,000 or from \$5.04 to \$84.75 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$85,990 or \$53.24 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range of the best comparable sales in this record. The Board gave little weight to the subject's sale due to the lack of information regarding the length of time the property was exposed on the open market. The appellant partially completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related and the property was sold by the owner, however, the appellant failed to disclose the length of time the subject was marketed. The appellant submitted a copy of the Warranty Deed and an affidavit from the appellant, however, neither of these documents disclosed the length of time the property was exposed on the open market, which is an important element of determining whether an arm's length transaction occurred. The Property Tax Appeal Board's appeal form requires Section IV be completed when arguing overvaluation based on a recent sale. The Board gave less weight to the parties' remaining comparable sales due to the

fact the sales did not occur proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mairo Morios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

## <u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 27, 2017
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

### **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.