

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Anthony Kies
DOCKET NO.: 12-27923.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 03-32-424-006-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Anthony Kies, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$ 13,300 **IMPR.:** \$ 76,171 **TOTAL:** \$ 89,471

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

## Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction with 4,985 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1990. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, two fireplaces, and a three-car garage. The property has a 19,000

square foot site and is located in Arlington Heights, Wheeling Township, Cook County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted an appraisal estimating the subject property had a market value of \$1,190,000 as of December 23, 2010.

The appellant also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited information on three equity comparables. Appellant submitted only class, land and improvement assessment information.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$89,471. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$923,333 or \$185.22 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for Cook County of 9.69% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted four equity comparables.

At hearing, appellant provided further information regarding the three equity comparables. Specifically, the comparables are located on the same street, two-story of masonry construction, three-car garage, 8-15 years old, and within 25 to 45 square feet larger than subject. However, no specific information was submitted regarding size of the three equity comparables.

The board of review analyst testified that the appraisal's value is higher than the board of review's market value. The appellant distinguished the board of review's comparables based on location.

### Conclusion of Law

The appellant also contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this

burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

As to the subject's market value, the Board gives little weight to the appellant's appraisal. The Board finds that appraisal's market value is higher than the board of review's market value. Accordingly, in determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board finds that the appellant failed to submit sufficient evidence to show the subject was overvalued. Therefore, the Board finds that the appellant has not met its burden by a preponderance of the evidence and that the subject does not warrant a reduction based upon the market data submitted into evidence.

The taxpayer also contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity distinguishing characteristics of the lack of assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be all of the board of review's comparables. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$15.40 to \$17.11 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$15.28 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Furthermore, the appellant did not provide the size of each equity comparable with which to compare to the subject. As such, the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

Member

Member

Member

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: September 18, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.