



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Frank Zaba
DOCKET NO.: 12-27279.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 17-19-425-023-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Frank Zaba, the appellant(s), by attorney Julie Realmuto, Attorney at Law in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$ 5,625
IMPR.: \$ 19,015
TOTAL: \$ 24,640

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling was constructed in 1878. Features of the home include a full basement and a one-car garage. The property has a 3,125 square foot site and is located in West Chicago Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted

information on four equity comparables. The appellant's comparables range: in building size from 2,771 to 3,654 square feet of living area; in age from 4 to 134 years; and in improvement assessments from \$.51 to \$3.63 per square foot of living area. As to the subject's improvement, the appellant asserts that the building contains 3,080 square feet of living area without further evidence.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,640. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$19,015 or \$4.47 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables. The board of review's comparables range: in building size from 3,455 to 4,116 square feet of living area; are 134 years old; in location from 1/4 mile to the subarea of the subject property; and in improvement assessments from \$4.76 to \$5.31 per square foot of living area. The board of review asserts that the building contains 4,253 square feet of living area with a printout included as evidence.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant *did not meet* this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not warranted*.

The Board gives no weight to the appellant's assertion of improvement size, due to the absence of supporting evidence. Therefore, the subject contains 4,253 square feet of living area.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the *board of review comparables #1, #2, and #3*. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$4.76 to \$5.31 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$4.47 per square foot of living area falls *below* the range established by the best comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant *did not demonstrate* with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment *is not justified*.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mario Albino

Chairman

K. L. Ferr

Member

JR

Member

Jerry White

Acting Member

Robert Hoffmann

Member

DISSENTING:

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: April 22, 2016

A. Heston

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the

subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.