

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Matthew and Stacey Woleben

DOCKET NO.: 12-25725.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 05-34-208-018-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Matthew and Stacey Woleben, the appellants, by attorney David C. Dunkin, of Arnstein & Lehr, LLP in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$13,440 **IMPR.:** \$34,060 **TOTAL:** \$47,500

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property is a 102 year-old, two-story dwelling of stucco construction. The parties differed as to the size of the living area. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement and a one-car garage. The property has a 7,680 square foot site and is located in New Trier Township, Cook County. The property is a class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellants' appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellants submitted a settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased on June 18, 2012 for a price of \$475,000. The appellants also submitted a Multiple Listing Service information sheet disclosing the subject was sold as a short sale, and submitted partial information in Section IV – Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was purchased through a realtor, was advertised for sale on the Multiple Listing Service for 298 days, and was not a transfer

between related parties. The appellants also submitted an appraisal estimating the market value of the subject at \$475,000 as of June 6, 2012. The appraisal disclosed the dwelling contained 2,476 square feet of living area. The appraisal also included a sketch of the dwelling with dimension measurements and a statement from the appraiser that he personally inspected the interior and exterior of the dwelling. The appraisal disclosed the subject was vacant. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to \$47,500 when using the 2012 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$63,000. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$630,000 when using the 2012 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four unadjusted suggested comparable sales. The board of review disclosed the dwelling contained 1,888 square feet of living area.

## **Conclusion of Law**

The Board finds, for the purposes of this appeal, that the subject contained 2,475 square feet of living area because the appraisal included a sketch with measurements of the interior of the dwelling and a statement from the appraiser that he personally inspected the interior and exterior of the building.

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants have met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

In addressing the appellants' market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in June 2012 for \$475,000 is a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is

ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, when there was a recent sale of the subject, and that sale was compulsory, the Board may consider other evidence which would show whether the sale price was representative of the subject's fair cash value. *See* 35 ILCS 200/16-183. In determining the fair market value of the subject property, the Board looks to the evidence presented by the parties. Although the appellants' recent sale appears to have been a compulsory sale, the appellants' appraisal estimated the subject's market value at \$475,000. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the appraisal submitted by the appellants. The Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$475,000 as of the assessment date at issue. Since market value has been established, the 2012 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

|   | Mauro Illorias                         |
|---|--|
|   | Chairman                               |
|   | CAR.                                   |
| Member  | Member                                 |
|   | ason Staffen                           |
| Member  | Member                                 |
| DISSENTING:   | <u>ERTIFICATION</u>                    |
| As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office. |  |
| Date:   | May 20, 2016                           |
|   | alportol                               |
|   | Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board |

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.