

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Medallion Properties, LLC

DOCKET NO.: 12-25281.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 25-17-113-003-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Medallion Properties, LLC, the appellant, by attorney Stephanie Park, of Park & Longstreet, P.C. in Rolling Meadows; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>A Reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,890 **IMPR.:** \$660 **TOTAL:** \$2,550

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of masonry exterior construction with 920 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 90 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement. The property has a 3,150 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Lake Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-02 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on May 26, 2011, for a price of \$25,500. The appellant's evidence of the subject's sale included a copy of the closing statement. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

Docket No: 12-25281.001-R-1

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$11,941. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$119,410 or \$129.79 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the level of assessments for class 2 property of 10% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparables located within the same neighborhood assessment code as the subject property. The comparables consists of one-story dwellings of frame, masonry or frame and masonry exterior construction that range in age from 48 to 109 years old. The comparables had features with varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings range in size from 864 to 965 square feet of living area and are situated on sites ranging in size from 3,906 to 13,567 square feet of land area. These comparables sold from April 2010 to October 2011 for prices ranging from \$106,500 to \$346,000 or from \$110.36 to \$400.46 per square foot of living area including land. Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Counsel for the appellant submitted a rebuttal brief critiquing the board of review's submission, arguing each of the board of review comparable sales are superior to the subject in various aspects and requesting the appeal be written on the evidence in the record.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The parties submitted four comparable sales for the Board's consideration. The board gave less weight to the board of review's comparables #1, #2 and #4 due to their older sale dates in relation to the January 1, 2012, assessment date. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's remaining comparable, as this evidence does not overcome the weight of the subject's arm's length transfer, which occurred seven month prior to the assessment date at issue. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in May 2011 for a price of \$25,500. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the closing statement. The Board finds the purchase price is below the market value reflected by the assessment. The Board finds the board of review did not present any evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the subject was overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

Docket No: 12-25281.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mairo Morios	
	Chairman
21. Fer	a R
Member	Member
Sobet Stoffen	Dan De Kinin
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

<u>CERTIFICATIO</u>N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	January 27, 2017
	Aportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 12-25281.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.