

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Gus Arvanitis
DOCKET NO.: 12-24922.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 20-22-204-032-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gus Arvanitis, the appellant, by attorney Brian P. Liston of the Law Offices of Liston & Tsantilis, P.C. in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented in this matter, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds *No Change* in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,745 **IMPR.:** \$11,215 **TOTAL:** \$13,960

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## **Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

#### **Findings of Fact**

The subject property consists of a two-story, multi-family dwelling of masonry construction. The dwelling is an apartment building that is approximately 114 years old and has 2,112 square feet of living area. Features of the building include two bathrooms, a full unfinished basement, and a two-car garage. The property has a 3,050 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Hyde Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted information on five comparable sales that sold from June 2009 to March 2010 for prices that ranged from \$35,000 to \$70,000 or from \$13.20 to \$26.04 per square foot of living area, land included.

Docket No: 12-24922.001-R-1

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$13,960. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$139,600 or \$66.10 per square foot of living area, including land, when applying the 10% level of assessment for class 2 residential properties under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance. In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales that sold from July 2009 to December 2010 for prices that ranged from \$54,500 to \$350,000 or from \$19.42 to \$126.17 per square foot of living area, land included.

# **Conclusion of Law**

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted information on a total of nine comparable sales. The Board finds that the appellant's comparable sales #1 through #4 were dated sales that occurred in 2009 and comparable #5 was significantly newer than the subject. As a result, the appellant's comparable sales received reduced weight in the Board's analysis. Board of review comparables #2 through #4 differed from the subject in living area; comparable #3 was over 100 years newer than the subject; and comparables #3 and #4 sold in 2009. As a result, these three comparables also received reduced weight. The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be board of review comparable sale #1. This comparable was located in the same tax block as the subject and was very similar to the subject in design, construction, age, living area, and foundation. Comparable #1 sold in April 2010 for a price of \$126.17 per square foot of living area, including land. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$66.10 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the market value of the best comparable sale in this record. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

Docket No: 12-24922.001-R-1

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Mauro Illorias
	Chairman
	R
Member	Member
	lovet Stoffen
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	
<u>C</u>	<u>ERTIFICATION</u>
hereby certify that the foregoing is a t	Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do rue, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the ed this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this
Date:	May 20, 2016
	alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

## **IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of

Docket No: 12-24922.001-R-1

the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.