

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Michael Krupnik DOCKET NO.: 12-22795.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-06-200-014-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Michael Krupnik, the appellant(s); and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$ 11,328 IMPR.: \$ 23,706 TOTAL: \$ 35,034

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a multi-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,350 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1960. Features of the home include a partial basement, central air conditioning, a Docket No: 12-22795.001-R-1

fireplace and a one-car garage. The property has a 41,195 square foot site and is located in Palatine Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-34 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased from The Sharon J. Canzoneri Trust in December 2009 for a price of \$250,000. The settlement statement indicates in the "Additional Seller Settlement Charges" section, that Kent Canzoneri was reimbursed for a "funeral expense." Additionally, the same section of the settlement statement indicates that four people with the last name of Canzoneri were each paid approximately \$35,000 from the net proceeds of the sale. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$35,034. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$361,548 or \$267.81 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2009 three year average median level of assessments for class 2 property of 9.69% under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a grid sheet with information on four equity comparables. The grid sheet also lists the sale of the subject in December 2009 for a price of \$250,000.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. The Board gave little weight to the subject's sale due to the fact the sale did not occur proximate in time to the assessment date at issue. The sale occurred more than 24 months prior to the assessment date at issue. In addition, the items listed on the settlement statement's "Additional Buyer Settlement Charges" section call into question whether the subject's sale price reflects the fair market value of the subject property. Based on this record the Board finds the subject's assessment is reflective of market value and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

1 1	E.L
1_2.	her
1000	0

Member

Mauro Minino

Member

DISSENTING:

Member

Member

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 24, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 12-22795.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.