

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Gonzalo D. Escobar DOCKET NO.: 12-21870.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 11-32-114-031-1023

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Gonzalo D. Escobar, the appellant, by attorney Leonard Schiller, of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$ 590 IMPR.: \$ 2,860 TOTAL: \$ 3,450

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is a residential condominium unit contained in a 63 year-old, three-story residential condominium building of masonry construction. The property has a 23,666 square foot site and is located in Rogers Park Township, Cook County. The property is a Class 2-99 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument, the appellant submitted a settlement statement disclosing the subject property was purchased on November 28,

PTAB/DPK

Docket No: 12-21870.001-R-1

2011 for a price of \$34,500 from seller Wells Fargo Bank, NA as Trustee for Option One Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-1. The appellant also submitted a real estate contract, a Multiple Listing Service (MLS) print-out disclosing the subject was sold as "REO/Lender Owned, Pre-Foreclosure" property, and information in Section IV -Recent Sale Data of the Residential Appeal that the subject was sold through a realtor, was advertised for sale for 70 days on the MLS, and was "Bank Owned" property. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$11,708. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$117,080 when using the 2012 level of assessment of 10.00% for Class 2 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment, the board of review submitted a brief arguing the subject was not sold at arm's-length and was, therefore, a compulsory sale. In support of its brief, the board of review submitted a print-out from the Cook County Recorder of Deeds, commonly known as a "deed trail," disclosing the following documents had been recorded:

1) *lis pendens* on August 27, 2008 by Wells Fargo Bank against Thomas Durkin; 2) a Lien on December 18, 2008 by Lunt Court Condo Association against Thomas Durkin; 3) a loan modification on December 30, 2009 by grantor Thomas Durkin to grantee Option One Mortgage Corporation; 4) a Deed on February 7, 2011 by grantor Judicial Sales Corporation to grantee Wells Fargo Bank; 5) a Special Warranty Deed on December 7, 2011 by grantor Wells Fargo Bank Trust to grantee Gonzalo Escobar.

The board of review also submitted copies of some of those recorded documents, and a PTAX-203 form disclosing the subject was sold as Bank REO property.

In rebuttal, the appellant reaffirmed the request for an assessment reduction.

Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant has not met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted. In addressing the appellant's market value argument, the Board finds that the sale of the subject in November 2011 for \$34,500 is a "compulsory sale." A "compulsory sale" is defined as:

(i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

35 ILCS 200/1-23. Real property in Illinois must be assessed at its fair cash value, which can only be estimated absent any compulsion on either party.

Illinois law requires that all real property be valued at its fair cash value, estimated at the price it would bring at a fair voluntary sale where the owner is ready, willing, and able to sell but not compelled to do so, and the buyer is likewise ready, willing, and able to buy, but is not forced to do so.

Bd. of Educ. of Meridian Cmty. Unit Sch. Dist. No. 223 v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 961 N.E. 2d 794, 802 (2d Dist. 2011) (citing Chrysler Corp. v. Ill. Prop. Tax Appeal Bd., 69 Ill. App. 3d 207, 211 (2d Dist. 1979)).

However, when there is a recent sale of the subject, and that sale is a compulsory sale, the Board may consider evidence which would show whether the sale price was representative of the subject's fair cash value. See 35 ILCS 200/16-183. The appellant's evidence did not dispute that the sale was a compulsory sale. However, the board of review did not submit additional evidence to show that the sale of the subject in November 2011 for \$34,500 was not at its fair cash value. Consequently, the Board finds that the subject is overvalued and holds that a reduction is warranted. This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Mano Aloriso

Chairman

Member

eny Whit

Acting Member

Member

Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

April 22, 2016

ortob

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.