

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Joe Margolies
DOCKET NO.: 12-20766.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 10-36-110-038-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Joe Margolies, the appellant, by attorney Leonard Schiller of Schiller Strauss & Lavin PC in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Cook** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$7,108 **IMPR.:** \$50,434 **TOTAL:** \$57,542

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story single family dwelling of masonry construction with 3,676 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 7 years old. Features of the home include a partial basement finished with a formal recreation room, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a one-car attached garage. The property has a 5,924 square foot site and is located in Chicago, Rogers Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-78 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of masonry or frame and masonry construction that range in size from 3,302 to 3,793 square feet of living area. The dwellings range in age from 60 to 62 years old. Each comparable has a full basement with one being finished, each comparable has central air conditioning, two comparables each have one fireplace and each comparable has a garage. The comparables have improvement assessments ranging from \$35,551 to \$45,067 or from \$9.42 to \$12.55 per square foot of living area. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$41,502.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$57,542. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$50,434 or \$13.72 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction that ranged in size from 3,083 to 3,662 square feet of living area. The dwellings were 7 and 10 years old. Each comparable had a partial or full basement with three having recreation rooms; each comparable had central air conditioning; two comparables each had one fireplace; and each comparable had a 1-car or a 2-car garage. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$45,890 to \$51,038 or from \$13.72 to \$15.07 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review as these properties were improved with dwellings most similar to the subject in age. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$13.72 to \$15.07 per square foot of living area. Board of review comparables #1 and #2 were most similar to the subject in age and size and had improvement assessments of \$13.72 and \$14.55 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$13.72 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best comparables in this record. Less weight

was given to the appellant's comparables as they were significantly older than the subject dwelling. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fen.	Mauro Illorias
Member	Member
a R	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
soort Stoffen	
Member	
DISSENTING:	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T I O N</u>

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	March 18, 2016
•	Afrotol
-	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.