



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: D. Granberry
DOCKET NO.: 12-20764.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 28-30-105-069-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are D. Granberry, the appellant, by attorney Julie Realmuto in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,477
IMPR.: \$22,580
TOTAL: \$24,057

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property is improved with a two-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 2,140 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 14 years old. Features of the home include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,547 square foot site and is located in Tinley Park, Bremen Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-95 individually owned townhome or row house under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant completed Section V - Comparable

Sales/Assessment Equity Grid Analysis of the appeal using four purported comparables. The grid disclosed, however, that comparables #2 and #3 had the same street address, consecutive parcel numbers (PINs) and the same improvement description. The Board finds this evidence indicates these two comparables are improved with one dwelling with an improvement assessment allocated between the two PINs. The three comparables used by the appellant are improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction that had either 1,718 or 2,172 square feet of living area. The dwellings were either 4 or 20 years old. Each comparable had a basement with three being partially finished and one comparable has an unfinished basement. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$248 to \$22,650 or from \$.14 to \$13.18 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$14,103.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,057. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$22,580 or \$10.55 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame and masonry construction that each had 2,140 square feet of living area. Each comparable was 14 years old and had the same classification code and neighborhood code as the subject property. Each comparable has a full basement with one being finished, central air conditioning, one fireplace and a two-car garage. These properties had total assessments ranging from \$24,058 to \$24,093 and improvement assessments ranging from \$22,410 to \$22,997 or from \$10.47 to \$10.75 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review. These comparables were most similar to the subject in location, age, size and features. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$10.47 to \$10.75 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10.55 per square foot of living area falls within the range established by the best

comparables in this record. Less weight was given the appellant's comparables due to differences from the subject dwelling in size and/or age. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman



Member



Member



Member



Member



Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: March 18, 2016



Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.