



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION  
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Wayne Koch  
DOCKET NO.: 12-20712.001-R-1  
PARCEL NO.: 16-32-206-021-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Wayne Koch, the appellant, by attorney Julie Realmuto of Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$2,887  
IMPR.: \$8,730  
TOTAL: \$11,617**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

**Statement of Jurisdiction**

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

**Findings of Fact**

The subject property is improved with a one-story dwelling of frame and masonry construction with 1,316 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 81 years old. Features of the property include a full unfinished basement and a two-car garage. The property has a 4,125 square foot site and is located in Cicero, Cicero Township, Cook County. The property

is classified as a class 2-03 property under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant completed Section V - Comparable Sales/Assessment Equity Grid Analysis of the appeal purportedly using three equity comparables. However, comparables #2 and #3 had the same address, consecutive parcel numbers (PINs), the same improvement description and the photograph of the dwelling depicts the same home, which indicates the improvement assessment is pro-rated over the two PINs. The two comparables submitted by the appellant included a 1.5-story dwelling and a 1-story dwelling of frame and masonry or masonry construction with 1,407 and 1,233 square feet of living area, respectively. The dwellings are 55 and 57 years old. Each comparable has a basement that is partially or fully finished and central air conditioning. The comparables have improvement assessments of \$5,007 and \$9,594 or \$3.56 and \$7.78 per square foot of living area, respectively. Based on this evidence the appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$5,119.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$11,617. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$8,730 or \$6.63 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with three 1-story dwellings and one 1.5-story dwelling of masonry or frame and masonry construction that ranged in size from 1,310 to 1,426 square feet of living area. The dwellings ranged in age from 51 to 81 years old. Each comparable had a full basement with a recreation room, two comparables had central air conditioning and each comparable had a two-car garage. The comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$9,446 to \$10,066 or from \$6.66 to \$7.54 per square foot of living area.

#### **Conclusion of Law**

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for

the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be appellant's comparable #2/#3 located at 3618 South 59<sup>th</sup> Ct, Cicero, and board of review comparables #1, #2 and #4. These comparables were improved with 1-story dwellings that had improvement assessments that ranged from \$6.66 to \$7.78 per square foot of living area. The most similar comparable was board of review comparable #2 that had an improvement assessment of \$6.66 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$6.63 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record. Less weight was given appellant's comparable #1 and board of review comparable #3 as they differed from the subject dwelling in style. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairman



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Acting Member



\_\_\_\_\_  
Member

\_\_\_\_\_  
Acting Member

DISSENTING: \_\_\_\_\_

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: February 19, 2016



\_\_\_\_\_  
Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.