



**FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION
ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD**

APPELLANT: Fisher
DOCKET NO.: 12-20043.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 16-08-115-016-0000

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Fisher, the appellant, by attorney Julie Realmuto of McCarthy Duffy in Chicago; and the Cook County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds no change in the assessment of the property as established by the Cook County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND: \$5,985
IMPR.: \$36,539
TOTAL: \$42,524**

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Cook County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story multi-family dwelling of frame construction with 3,609 square feet of living area. The dwelling is approximately 123 years old. Features of the building include a full unfinished basement, central air conditioning and one fireplace. The property has an 8,550 square foot site and is located in Oak Park, Oak Park Township, Cook County. The subject is classified as a class 2-11

apartment building under the Cook County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

The appellant contends assessment inequity with respect to the improvement assessment as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables improved with two-story dwellings of frame or masonry construction that range in size from 2,744 to 3,054 square feet of living area. The dwellings ranged in age from 97 to 100 years old. One comparable has an unfinished basement. The comparables had improvement assessments ranging from \$18,381 to \$22,009 or \$6.44 and \$7.21 per square foot of living area. The appellant requested the subject's improvement assessment be reduced to \$26,021.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$42,524. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$36,539 or \$10.12 per square foot of living area. In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four equity comparables improved with two-story multi-family buildings that ranged in size from 3,202 to 3,956 square feet of building area. The comparables ranged in age from 105 to 138 years old. Each comparable was located in Oak Park and had the same assessment classification code as the subject property. Each comparable had a full basement with one being finished with an apartment, one comparable had central air conditioning, three comparables had one or three fireplaces; and each comparable had a two-car or a three-car garage. These properties had improvement assessments ranging from \$37,316 to \$55,909 or from \$11.45 to \$14.13 per square foot of living area.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment comparables to the subject property. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(b). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of assessment equity to be the comparables submitted by the board of review. The Board finds the comparables submitted by the board of review were more similar to the subject in age and size than those submitted by the appellant. The board of review comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$11.45 to \$14.13 per square foot of living area. The subject's improvement assessment of \$10.12 per square foot of living area falls below the range established by the best comparables in this record but justified considering the subject property has no garage as compared to the board of review comparables each of which has a garage. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Chairman

K. L. Fan

Klaus Albrecht

Member

Member

JR

Jerry White

Member

Acting Member

Robert Steffen

Acting Member

DISSENTING: _____

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date: December 18, 2015

A. Proctor

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.