

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Zheng Chen

DOCKET NO.: 12-04197.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 08-15-108-164

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Zheng Chen, the appellant, by attorney Jerri K. Bush in Chicago, and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$2,970 **IMPR.:** \$12,730 **TOTAL:** \$15,700

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

# Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story residential condominium unit in a building of brick exterior construction with 615 square feet of living area. The building was constructed in 1974. Features of the unit include central air

conditioning. 1 The property is located in Lisle, Lisle Township, DuPage County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on October 18, 2011 for a price of \$47,100. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$28,260. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$84,814 or \$137.91 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for DuPage County of 33.32% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In response to the appellant's sale price, the board of review through the township assessor provided a copy of the Notice of Foreclosure filed in January 2011 concerning the subject property.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review through the township assessor submitted information on six comparable sales of 615 or 675 square foot condominium units of identical age to the subject. Two of these comparables have central air conditioning. The properties sold between January 2009 and July 2011 for prices ranging from \$59,000 to \$102,500.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellant reiterated the facts that reflect the subject's transaction was arm's length and should be accepted as the best evidence of market value.

### Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The appellant relied upon the Multiple Listing Service data sheet for the description of the unit which included central air conditioning as a feature. The assessing officials do not report air conditioning for the unit.

of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in October, 2011, less than three months prior to the assessment date of January 1, 2012, for a price of \$47,100. The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it had been on the market for 7 days as reported in the Multiple Listing Service data sheet. In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement which reiterated the purchase date and price.

The Board finds the purchase price of \$47,100 is below the market value reflected by the assessment of \$84,814. The Board finds the board of review did not present any substantive evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction. To the extent that the board of review was relying upon the Notice of Foreclosure, the Property Tax Appeal Board takes judicial notice of Public Act 96-1083 which amended the Property Tax Code adding sections 1-23 and 16-183 (35 ILCS 200/1-23 & 16-183), effective July 16, 2010.

### Section 1-23 of the Property Tax Code provides:

Compulsory sale. "Compulsory sale" means (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

# Section 16-183 provides:

Compulsory sales. The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments,

including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

The Board finds the effective date of these statutes is applicable to the assessment date at issue, January 1, 2012.

Additionally, the board of review did not refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value at the time of the sale which occurred less than three months prior to the assessment date at issue. The appellant submitted a copy of the Multiple Listing Service data sheet which reflected the property was a "short sale" and had an original asking price of \$39,900 prior to its sale for \$47,100.

The Board has given little weight to board of review comparables #1 through #4 which sold on dates remote in time to the valuation date at issue of January 1, 2012. The most recent sales that occurred in June and July 2011 for prices of \$59,900 and \$64,000 further support the appellant's contention that the subject property is overvalued based on these recent sales.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property is overvalued and a reduction in the subject's assessment commensurate with the appellant's request is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fer	Mauro Morios
Member	Member
C. R.	Jany White
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

# CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	September 18, 2015
	Alportol
•	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.