

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Property Development Mgmt. DOCKET NO.: 12-03768.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 02-03-105-015

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Property Development Mgmt., the appellant, by attorneys Ryan Schaefges and Richard J. Caldarazzo, of Mar Cal Law, P.C. in Chicago; and the DuPage County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **DuPage** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

> LAND: \$21,310 IMPR.: \$48,460 TOTAL: \$69,770

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the DuPage County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of brick and frame exterior construction with 954 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1956. Features of the home include a full basement with 75% finished area, central air conditioning, a fireplace and a two-car detached garage. The Docket No: 12-03768.001-R-1

property has a 6,512 square foot site and is located in Roselle, Bloomingdale Township, DuPage County.

The appellant appeared before the Property Tax Appeal Board through counsel contending assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal.¹ The appellant did not challenge the subject's land assessment. In support of this argument the appellant submitted information on three equity comparables located along the same street or within 2 blocks of the subject property. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings contain 960 or 1,056 square feet of living area and were reported to have improvement assessments that range from \$44,150 to \$51,220 or from \$45.33 to \$48.50 per square foot of living area.²

Based on this evidence, the appellant requested that the improvement assessment be reduced to \$44,466 or \$46.61 per square foot of living area. The appellant's attorney called no witnesses.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$69,770. The subject property has an improvement assessment of \$48,460 or \$50.80 per square foot of living area.

Representing the board of review was Chairman Anthony Bonavolonta. Bonavolonta called Bloomingdale Township Assessor John Dabrowski as a witness to testify regarding the evidence he prepared on behalf of the board of review.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on five equity comparables located in the same neighborhood as the subject property. The comparables have varying degrees of similarity when compared to the subject. The dwellings contain 954 or 1,056 square feet of living area and have improvement assessments that range from \$47,080 to \$54,040 or from \$49.35 to \$51.17 per square foot of living area.

¹ A consolidated hearing was held under Docket Nos. 12-03768.001-R-1, and 13-04124.001-R-1. Individual decisions will be rendered for each parcel with the applicable evidence presented.

² John Dabrowski, Bloomingdale Township Assessor, testified that the appellant's grid analysis had incorrect assessment amounts. The amounts depicted were prior to application of a negative 2012 equalization factor. The board of review's evidence supplied by the township assessor depicts the correct assessment amounts. The improvement assessments range from \$39,990 to \$46,390 or from \$41.06 to \$43.93 per square foot of living area.

Based on this evidence, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The taxpayer contends assessment inequity as the basis of the appeal. When unequal treatment in the assessment process is the basis of the appeal, the inequity of the assessments must be proved by clear and convincing evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code Proof of unequal treatment in the assessment §1910.63(e). process should consist of documentation of the assessments for the assessment year in question of not less than three comparable properties showing the similarity, proximity and lack of distinguishing characteristics of the assessment 86 comparables to the subject property. Ill.Admin.Code The Board finds the appellant did not meet this §1910.65(b). burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The parties submitted eight equity comparables for the Board's consideration. Both parties were similar in location, dwelling size, exterior construction, age and features when compared to the subject. These comparables had improvement assessments that ranged from \$41.06 to \$51.17 per square foot of living area. The subject has an improvement assessment of \$50.80 per square foot of living area, which falls within the range established by the most similar comparables in this record. Based on this record the Board finds the appellant did not demonstrate with clear and convincing evidence that the subject's improvement was inequitably assessed and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

The constitutional provision for uniformity of taxation and valuation does not require mathematical equality. The requirement is satisfied if the intent is evident to adjust the burden with a reasonable degree of uniformity and if such is the statute enacted by the General Assembly effect of the establishing the method of assessing real property in its general operation. A practical uniformity, rather than an absolute one, is the test. Apex Motor Fuel Co. v. Barrett, 20 Although the comparables presented by the Ill.2d 395 (1960). parties disclosed that properties located in the same area are not assessed at identical levels, all that the constitution requires is a practical uniformity which appears to exist on the basis of the evidence. For the foregoing reasons, the Board finds that the appellant has not proven by clear and convincing evidence that the subject property is inequitably assessed.

Therefore, no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

200		
~	1 = +	
100	Z. po	2

Member

Member

Chairman

Mano Allorino

Member eny Whit

Acting Member

DISSENTING:

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:

June 26, 2015

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

Docket No: 12-03768.001-R-1

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A <u>PETITION AND EVIDENCE</u> WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.