

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Robert L. & Kathleen E. Friederich

DOCKET NO.: 12-02401.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 14-15-402-022

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Robert L. & Kathleen E. Friederich, the appellants, by attorney Jerri K. Bush in Chicago, and the Lake County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>no change</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Lake** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$18,721 **IMPR.:** \$82,372 **TOTAL:** \$101,093

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Lake County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a two-story dwelling of frame construction with 2,215 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1999. Features of the home include an unfinished basement, central air conditioning, a fireplace

and an attached 460 square foot garage. The property has a 5,227 square foot site and is located in Lake Zurich, Ela Township, Lake County.

The appellants submitted evidence before the Property Tax Appeal Board claiming overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. support of this claim, the appellants submitted a limited market analysis prepared by ProTaxAppeal that was dated March 26, 2013. The report was not signed nor was the professional credentials of the person(s) who prepared the report disclosed. analysis included information for three suggested comparable sales reported as being located from .16 to .36 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are two-story dwellings of "Good" quality grade and condition. The analysis did not disclose the comparables' land size, exterior construction or whether the had central air conditioning. The dwellings have unfinished basements and were built from 2005 to 2007. dwellings range in size from 2,186 to 2,505 square feet of living area and have garages ranging in size from 440 to 460 square feet of building area. Two comparables have a fireplace. The comparables sold from April 2011 to March 2012 for prices ranging from \$229,900 to \$274,500 or from \$105.17 to \$112.80 per square foot of living area including land. The analysis included adjustments to the comparables for lien date/sale date, land, age, size, basement/lower level and fireplace. evidence to support the adjustment amounts was supplied. Sources at the bottom of the analysis were listed as Assessor, MLS, Realist, Marshall & Swift and IRPAM.

Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessed valuation.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$101,093. The subject's assessment reflects an estimated market value of \$308,964 or \$139.49 per square foot of living area including land when applying the 2012 three-year average median level of assessment for Lake County of 32.72% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of the subject's assessment, the board of review submitted three suggested comparable sales located from .35 to .57 of a mile from the subject. The comparables are two-story dwellings of frame construction. The dwellings have unfinished basements and range in size from 1,920 to 2,350 square feet of living area. The dwellings were built from 2001 to 2006. The comparables have central air conditioning, one fireplace and

attached garages ranging in size from 400 to 560 square feet of building area. The comparables sold in October 2011 or May 2012 for prices ranging from \$283,000 to \$348,000 or from \$130.54 to \$148.09 per square foot of living area including land.

Based on this evidence, the board requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants did not meet this burden of proof and no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

parties submitted six comparables for The the consideration. The Board gave less weight to the appellants' comparable #3 due to its larger dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board gave less weight to the board of review's comparable #2 due to its smaller dwelling size when compared to the subject. The Board finds the remaining four comparables where most similar to the subject in location, style, size and features. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$229,900 to \$348,000 or from \$105.17 to \$148.09 per square foot of living area, including land. subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$308,964 or \$139.49 per square foot of living area, including land, which is within the range established by the best comparable sales in this record. After considering adjustments to the comparables for differences when compared to the subject, the Board finds no reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
	Mauro Illorios
Member	Member
a R	Jerry White
Member	Acting Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	July 24, 2015
	Alportol
	Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.