

FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Siamak Azimi & Laura Dooley

DOCKET NO.: 12-01324.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 06-10-378-106

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Siamak Azimi & Laura Dooley, the appellants, by attorney Jerri K. Bush in Chicago, and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

LAND: \$1,555 **IMPR.:** \$13,119 **TOTAL:** \$14,674

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellants timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a residential condominium unit in a five-story brick building that was constructed in 1979. The unit contains 1,000 square feet of living area and features central air conditioning and an attached garage. The property is located in Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellants' appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellants submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on May 31, 2011 for a price of \$44,000. Based on this evidence, the appellants requested a reduction in the subject's assessment to reflect the purchase price.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$24,714. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$74,105, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.35% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

The board of review submitted a memorandum contending that the subject was purchased as a foreclosure in "as-is" condition with cash. The Multiple Listing Service data sheet notes a special incentive to close by June 30, 2011 and request up to 3.5% of the final sales price for closing cost assistance.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted information on four comparable sales, three of which were located in the same building as the subject unit. Each of the sales occurred in either July or August 2010. Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

In written rebuttal, counsel for the appellants argued that the recent sale of the subject property under the provisions of the Property Tax Code and applicable case law is the best evidence of fair cash value being an arm's length transaction that was not between related parties or a forced sale.

Conclusion of Law

The appellants contend the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellants met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

The Property Tax Appeal Board takes judicial notice of Public Act 96-1083 which amended the Property Tax Code adding sections

1-23 and 16-183 (35 ILCS 200/1-23 & 16-183), effective July 16, 2010.

Section 1-23 of the Property Tax Code provides:

Compulsory sale. "Compulsory sale" means (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

Section 16-183 provides:

Compulsory sales. The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

The Board finds the effective date of these statutes is applicable to the assessment date at issue, January 1, 2012. Therefore, the Board finds these statutes are instructive as to the appellants' 2012 assessment of the subject property.

Moreover, the Board has given little weight to the four sales presented by the board of review as these transactions all occurred in mid-2010, dates approximately 18 months prior to the assessment date at issue of January 1, 2012. Since these sales are remote in time to the valuation date at issue, the Board finds these sales are less likely to be indicative of the subject's estimated market value.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the purchase of the subject property in May, 2011 for a price of \$44,000. The appellants provided evidence demonstrating the sale had the elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellants completed Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service almost continuously from July 2010 until the property sold. In further support of the transaction the appellants submitted a copy of the Settlement Statement which reflected the payment of

brokers' fees. The Board finds the purchase price of \$44,000 is below the market value reflected by the assessment of \$74,105. The Board finds the board of review did not present sufficient evidence to challenge the arm's length nature of the transaction or to refute the contention that the purchase price was reflective of market value. The mere facts that the property was purchased in "as-is" condition, that a discount was obtained for closing the sale before June 2011 and the fact that the property was purchased for cash are not bases upon which to counter the arm's length nature of the sale transaction.

Based on this record the Board finds the subject property had a market value of \$44,000 as of January 1, 2012. Since market value has been determined the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.35% shall apply. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.50(c)(1).

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
L. J. fer	
Member	Member
Mauro Morioso	R
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 22, 2015
-	Alportol
-	Clark of the Droperty Tay Appeal Board

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.