

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Frederico Meza
DOCKET NO.: 12-01319.001-R-1
PARCEL NO.: 06-28-421-006

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Frederico Meza, the appellant, by attorney Jerri K. Bush in Chicago, and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds  $\underline{no\ change}$  in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$16,444 **IMPR.:** \$42,575 **TOTAL:** \$59,019

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

## Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a part one-story and part two-story dwelling of frame construction with 1,424 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1996. Features of the home include a full basement, central air conditioning and an attached 360 square foot garage. The property has a 6,215

square foot site and is located in South Elgin, Elgin Township, Kane County.

The appellant contends overvaluation as the basis of the appeal. In support of this argument the appellant submitted limited information on six comparable sales. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested a total assessment of \$39,596 which would reflect a market value of approximately \$118,788.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$59,019. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$176,969 or \$124.28 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.35% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted a letter from the Elgin Township Assessor along with information on four comparable sales. The township assessor noted that each of the comparable sales presented by the appellant involved foreclosure sales and the sales occurred in 2012. In addition, the appellant's comparables have slab foundations whereas the subject has a basement. Based on this evidence and argument, the board of review requested confirmation of the subject's assessment.

## Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant did not meet this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is not warranted.

The Board has given little weight to the appellant's six suggested comparables as each of the homes has a concrete slab foundation as compared to the subject's full basement foundation.

The Board finds the best evidence of market value to be the board of review comparable sales, each of which has a basement

and are in the same subdivision as the subject. Additionally these comparables are similar in age, dwelling size, exterior construction and other amenities when compared to the subject. These most similar comparables sold for prices ranging from \$198,000 to \$215,000 or from \$131 to \$140 per square foot of living area, including land, rounded. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$176,969 or \$124.28 per square foot of living area, including land, which is below the range established by the best comparable sales in this record both in terms of overall value and on a per-square-foot basis. Based on this evidence, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is not justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

	Chairman
21. Fe	
Member	Member
Mauro Illorias	a R
Member	Member
DISSENTING:	

## CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

Date:	May 22, 2015
•	Alportol
•	Clark of the Droperty Tay Appeal Board

### Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.