

# FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE DECISION ILLINOIS PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD

APPELLANT: Hermilo & Elvia Alvarez

DOCKET NO.: 12-01159.001-R-1 PARCEL NO.: 15-15-228-007

The parties of record before the Property Tax Appeal Board are Hermilo & Elvia Alvarez, the appellant, by attorney Jerri K. Bush of Chicago, and the Kane County Board of Review.

Based on the facts and exhibits presented, the Property Tax Appeal Board hereby finds <u>a reduction</u> in the assessment of the property as established by the **Kane** County Board of Review is warranted. The correct assessed valuation of the property is:

**LAND:** \$3,871 **IMPR.:** \$8,469 **TOTAL:** \$12,340

Subject only to the State multiplier as applicable.

#### Statement of Jurisdiction

The appellant timely filed the appeal from a decision of the Kane County Board of Review pursuant to section 16-160 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-160) challenging the assessment for the 2012 tax year. The Property Tax Appeal Board finds that it has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the appeal.

### Findings of Fact

The subject property consists of a one-story dwelling of frame construction with 920 square feet of living area. The dwelling was constructed in 1955. Features of the home include a slab foundation and an attached garage with 352 square feet of building area. The property has a 6,753 square foot site and is located in Aurora, Aurora Township, Kane County.

The appellant's appeal is based on overvaluation. In support of this argument the appellant submitted evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased on October 1, 2010 for a price of \$37,000. The appellant also submitted a comparative market analysis containing four comparable sales improve with one-story dwellings that ranged in size from 768 to 1,036 square feet of living area and were constructed from 1923 to 1958. The comparables sold from November 2011 to June 2012 for prices ranging from \$25,000 to \$77,000 or from \$28.94 to \$74.32 per square foot of living area, including land. Based on this evidence, the appellant requested the subject's assessment be reduced to \$12,332.

The board of review submitted its "Board of Review Notes on Appeal" disclosing the total assessment for the subject of \$19,998. The subject's assessment reflects a market value of \$59,964 or \$65.18 per square foot of living area, land included, when using the 2012 three year average median level of assessment for Kane County of 33.35% as determined by the Illinois Department of Revenue.

In rebuttal the board of review submitted as statement asserting that appellant's comparables #1, #3 and #4 sold in 2012 and comparables #2 and #4 were foreclosures. It also stated the subject sold as a foreclosure.

In support of its contention of the correct assessment the board of review submitted an equity analysis developed by the township assessor using three comparables. The board of review also submitted a grid analysis of the appellant's comparable sales.

## Conclusion of Law

The appellant contends the market value of the subject property is not accurately reflected in its assessed valuation. When market value is the basis of the appeal the value of the property must be proved by a preponderance of the evidence. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.63(e). Proof of market value may consist of an appraisal of the subject property, a recent sale, comparable sales or construction costs. 86 Ill.Admin.Code §1910.65(c). The Board finds the appellant met this burden of proof and a reduction in the subject's assessment is warranted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The date of construction was taken from the board of review grid analysis of the appellant's comparable sales.

The Board finds the appellant presented evidence disclosing the subject property was purchased in October 2010 for a price of \$37,000 or \$40.22 per square foot of living area, including The appellant provided evidence demonstrating the sale had elements of an arm's length transaction. The appellant completed portions of Section IV - Recent Sale Data of the appeal disclosing the parties to the transaction were not related, the property was sold using a Realtor, the property had been advertised on the open market with the Multiple Listing Service and it had been on the market for approximately seven In further support of the transaction the appellant submitted a copy of the settlement statement, a copy of the MLS listing and the Listing and Property History Report indicating the property was originally listed for a price of \$85,900 in February 2010 and was off the market in September 2010 after a marketing time of 229 days. The Board also finds the listing sheet indicates the property was in pre-foreclosure and was being sold "as is." The subject's purchase price is below the market value reflected by the subject's assessment.

The appellant also provided information on four comparable sales. According to the board of review comparables #2 and #4 were in foreclosure. Section 1-23 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/1-23) defines a compulsory sale as:

"Compulsory sale" means (i) the sale of real estate for less than the amount owed to the mortgage lender or mortgagor, if the lender or mortgagor has agreed to the sale, commonly referred to as a "short sale" and (ii) the first sale of real estate owned by a financial institution as a result of a judgment of foreclosure, transfer pursuant to a deed in lieu of foreclosure, or consent judgment, occurring after the foreclosure proceeding is complete.

Furthermore, section 16-183 of the Property Tax Code (35 ILCS 200/16-183) provides:

Compulsory sales. The Property Tax Appeal Board shall consider compulsory sales of comparable properties for the purpose of revising and correcting assessments, including those compulsory sales of comparable properties submitted by the taxpayer.

Therefore, the Property Tax Appeal Board will give some consideration to these sales.

The information provided by the board of review further disclosed the appellant's comparables were superior to the subject in features with each having a basement and comparables #1 and #2 having central air conditioning. Comparable sale #1 was also superior to the subject with brick exterior construction. The comparables sold for prices ranging from \$25,000 to \$77,000 or from \$28.94 to \$74.32 per square foot of living area, including land. Downward adjustments would be required to the comparables due to their superior features in contrast to the subject dwelling.

The Board further finds the board of review equity analysis did not address the appellant's overvaluation argument.

In conclusion, after considering the subject's sale and the comparable sales provided by the appellant, the Board finds a reduction in the subject's assessment is justified.

This is a final administrative decision of the Property Tax Appeal Board which is subject to review in the Circuit Court or Appellate Court under the provisions of the Administrative Review Law (735 ILCS 5/3-101 et seq.) and section 16-195 of the Property Tax Code.

Smald R. Crit Chairman Member Member Mauro Illinino Member Member DISSENTING:

### CERTIFICATION

As Clerk of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board and the keeper of the Records thereof, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and complete Final Administrative Decision of the Illinois Property Tax Appeal Board issued this date in the above entitled appeal, now of record in this said office.

> January 23, 2015 Date:

Clerk of the Property Tax Appeal Board

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE

Section 16-185 of the Property Tax Code provides in part:

"If the Property Tax Appeal Board renders a decision lowering the assessment of a particular parcel after the deadline for filing complaints with the Board of Review or after adjournment of the session of the Board of Review at which assessments for the subsequent year are being considered, the taxpayer may, within 30 days after the date of written notice of the Property Tax Appeal Board's decision, appeal the assessment for the subsequent year directly to the Property Tax Appeal Board."

In order to comply with the above provision, YOU MUST FILE A PETITION AND EVIDENCE WITH THE PROPERTY TAX APPEAL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE ENCLOSED DECISION IN ORDER TO APPEAL THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY FOR THE SUBSEQUENT YEAR.

Based upon the issuance of a lowered assessment by the Property Tax Appeal Board, the refund of paid property taxes is the responsibility of your County Treasurer. Please contact that office with any questions you may have regarding the refund of paid property taxes.